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Rationale for the CSES

- Designed to study variations in electoral systems
- Wide variations across countries on...
  - Electoral rules
  - Presidential or parliamentary system
  - Federalism vs unitary government
  - Lines of political conflict
  ... and more
CSES as a Research Resource

• Electoral engineering
• Electoral institutions and the personal vote
• Voter turnout
• Democracy in divided societies
• Competence and legitimacy in new democracies
• Economic voting in a globalizing economy

...and more
General Project Overview

• Micro dataset
  — 10-15 minute module with common questions in national election studies
  — module topic current for about 5 years
  — District level data for each respondent, e.g. turnout

• Macro dataset
  — detailed information about democratic institutions

• Public access at http://www.cses.org
1996-2001
Module 1: System Performance

• Topics include...

  — electoral institutions and political cognition and behavior

  — the nature of political and social cleavages and alignments

  — the evaluation of democratic institutions and processes
2001-2006
Module 2: Accountability, Representation

• Topics include...
  — elections as accountability versus elections as representation
  — voter engagement and electoral participation
  — institutional context and voter choice
2006-2011
Module 3: Electoral Choices

• *The Electoral Choice Set*
  — How do choices affect electoral decisions?
  — How do supply patterns influence choice?

• *Dimensions of Choice*
  — Retrospective, prospective
  — Ideology
  — Performance evaluations
Module 3 Electoral Choices, continued

- What happens if choices are not meaningful?
  - Decline in electoral participation
  - New parties may alter the choice set
  - Political support may decline
Geographical Coverage

- *Countries included in the CSES*
  - Criteria for inclusion
  - Around 55 countries as at mid-2008
  - Module 1, 33 countries
  - Module 2, 38 countries
  - Limited coverage in Africa
  - Reasonable coverage in Latin America, East Asia
Publications using the CSES

• Book examples
  — Pippa Norris, *Electoral Engineering*
  — Hans-Dieter Klingemann, *The Comparative Study of Electoral Systems*
  — Series with OUP

• Journal examples
  — Special issue of *Political Analysis*, vol 13 (4) 2005
    ‘Multilevel Modeling for Large Clusters’
  — Special issue of *Party Politics*, vol 13 (2) 2007
    ‘Political Parties and Political Development’
  — *Electoral Studies* symposium, vol 27 (1) 2008
    ‘Public Support for Democracy’
Citizens living in presidential or parliamentary systems face different political choices as do voters casting votes in elections governed by rules of proportional representation or plurality. Political commentators seem to know how such rules influence political behaviour. They firmly believe, for example, that candidates running in plurality systems are better known and held more accountable to their constituencies than candidates competing in elections governed by proportional representation. However, such assertions rest on shaky ground simply because solid empirical knowledge to evaluate the impact of political institutions on individual political behaviour is still lacking. The Comparative Study of Electoral Systems has collected data on political institutions and on individual political behaviour and scrutinized it carefully. In line with common wisdom, results of most analyses presented in this volume confirm that political institutions matter for individual political behaviour but, contrary to what is widely believed, they do not matter much.
CSES OUP Series

- Vol 2 *Elections and Representative Democracy: Representation and Accountability.* Editor: Jacques Thomassen.
- *Exchanging Places? Gender and Political Behaviour in Western Democracies.* Authors: Bernadette C. Hayes and Ian McAllister.