**Prepared by:** 

Date:

# **COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL SYSTEMS** Module 2: Sample Design and Data Collection Report

August 23, 2004

## **Country:**

#### **Date of Election:**

Type of Election (e.g., presidential, parliamentary, legislative):

Organization that conducted the survey field work:

#### **Investigators Responsible for Data Collection:**

Name:

Name:

Affiliation:

Affiliation:

Address:

Address:

Fax: Phone: E-mail:	Fax: Phone: E-mail:
Name:	Name:
Affiliation:	Affiliation:

Address:

Address:

Fax:	Fax:
Phone:	Phone:
E-mail:	E-mail:

### A. Study Design

- Post-Election Study
- □ Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study

Date Post-Election Interviewing Began:

Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended:

*If Panel Study:* Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began:

Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:

Mode of (post-election) interview:

- □ In person, face-to-face
- **D** Telephone
- □ Mail or self-completion supplement

Language(s) used in questionnaire(s) (Please provide copies of questionnaires in all languages used, as well as a version translated in English, if applicable, as part of the Election Study Deposit):

#### **B.** Sample Design and Sampling Procedures

- 1. Eligibility Requirements
  - a) Age: Minimum\_\_\_\_\_ Maximum\_\_\_\_\_
  - b) Citizenship: Yes\_\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_\_
  - c) Other requirements:
- 2. Sample Frame:
  - a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

If yes, please explain:

b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

- d) If interviews were conducted by telephone:
  - i. What is the estimated percentage of households without a phone: \_\_\_\_\_%
  - ii. Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled?
    No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

- iii. Were substitution methods used for unproductive sample points?
  No Yes Please explain:
- e) Were other persons excluded from the sample frame?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

f) Estimated total (a + b + c + d + e) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: \_\_\_\_\_\_%

- 3. Sample Selection Procedures:
  - a) What were the primary sampling units?
  - b) Were the primary sampling units randomly selected?

No\_\_\_\_Yes\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

c) Were there further stages of selection?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

d) How were individual respondents identified?

e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non- sample?

Please check all that apply:

- □ Non-residential sample point
- □ All members of household are ineligible
- **•** Housing unit is vacant
- □ No answer at housing unit after \_\_\_\_\_ callbacks
- Other (Please explain):

f) Were non-sample replacement methods used?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

- g). For surveys conducted by telephone:
  - i. Was the sample a random digit dial (RDD) sample? Yes\_\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_\_

ii. Was the sample a listed sample? Yes\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_

iii. Was the sample a dual frame sample? No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

If yes, what % list frame\_\_\_\_\_ and what % RDD\_\_\_\_\_

h) For surveys conducted by mail:

Was the sample a listed sample? Yes\_\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

### 4. Compliance:

Prior to the study:

a) Was a letter sent to respondent?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_ (If yes, please include a copy of the letter in the Deposit)

b) Was payment sent to respondent?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

If yes, please describe:

c) Was a token gift sent to respondent?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

If yes, please describe:

d) Were any other incentives used?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

If yes, please describe:

- 5. During the Field Period:
  - a) How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it **non-sample?**
  - b) How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it **non-interview?**
  - c) Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted?
  - d) Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they re-contacted the household? No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

- e) Refusal Conversion:
  - Were efforts made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed? No\_\_\_\_\_Yes\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

ii. Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

If so, please describe (in addition, please include a copy of the letter in the deposit):

iii. Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

If yes, how much?

- iv. Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes\_\_\_\_\_No\_\_\_\_\_
- v. What was the maximum number of re-contacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed?

vi. Were any other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part?

No	Yes

Please describe:

6. Response Rate:

(Note: if a panel study, please report response rate of the first wave)

Total number of sample lines issued:	
Number of refusals:	
Number never contacted (no-contact):	***************************************
Other non-response:	***************************************
Number of lines of non-sample:	
Total number of completed interviews:	
-	***************************************
Response Rate:	

#### 7. Panel Attrition:

(Note: This only applies if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study):

Total number of respondents in Wave I of the study: Number of Wave I respondents re-	
interviewed in wave containing CSES Module:	
Percent total panel attrition:	

8. Panel attrition by age and education (given as percentages; please indicate whether numbers provided are % re-interviewed or % attrition):

Age		<b>Education</b>	
18-25	%	None	%
26-40	%	Incomplete primary	%
41-65	%	Primary completed	%
65 & over	%	Incomplete secondary	%
		Secondary completed	%
		University incomplete	%
		University degree	%

#### 9. Sample Weights

a) Are weights included in the data file? No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please describe how the weights were constructed:

 b) Are the weights designed to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the respondent/household level? No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

c) Are the weights designed to match known demographic characteristics of the population?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

- 10. a) Please describe the interviewers (e.g., age, level of education, years of experience):
  - b) Please provide a description of interviewer training:

# 11. Comparison of Sample to Population

	Sample Estimates		
Characteristic	Population	Unweighted	Weighted
	Estimates		
Age			
18-25			
26-40			
41-66			
65 and over			
Education			
None			
Incomplete Primary			
Primary Completed			
Incomplete Secondary			
Secondary Completed			
Post-Secondary Trade/			
Vocational			
Incomplete University			
University Degree			
Gender			
Male			
Female			