Country: USA
Date of Election: November 4, 2008
Prepared by: John Aldrich and Bojan Todosijevic
Date of Preparation: July 16, 2012

NOTES TO COLLABORATORS:

- The information provided in this report contributes to an important part of the CSES project. The information may be filled out by yourself, or by an expert or experts of your choice. Your efforts in providing these data are greatly appreciated! Any supplementary documents that you can provide (e.g., electoral legislation, party manifestos, electoral commission reports, media reports) are also appreciated, and may be made available on the CSES website.

- Answers should be as of the date of the election being studied.

- Where brackets [ ] appear, collaborators should answer by placing an “X” within the appropriate bracket or brackets. For example: [X]

- If more space is needed to answer any question, please lengthen the document as necessary.

Data Pertinent to the Election at which the Module was Administered

1a. Type of Election
   [ ] Parliamentary/Legislative
   [X] Parliamentary/Legislative and Presidential
   [ ] Presidential
   [ ] Other; please specify: __________

1b. If the type of election in Question 1a included Parliamentary/Legislative, was the election for the Upper House, Lower House, or both?
   [ ] Upper House
   [ ] Lower House
   [X] Both
   [ ] Other; please specify: __________

Note: In this election, 35 out of 100 Senate seats were contested.
2a. What was the party of the president *prior* to the most recent election?  
**Republican Party**

2b. What was the party of the Prime Minister *prior* to the most recent election?  
**N/A**

2c. Report the number of cabinet ministers of each party or parties in cabinet, *prior* to the most recent election. (If one party holds all cabinet posts, simply write "all"). Ministers are considered those members of government who are members of the Cabinet and who have Cabinet voting rights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Political Party</th>
<th>Number of Cabinet Ministers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>N/A</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2d. What was the size of the cabinet *prior* to the election (total number of cabinet ministers detailed in 2c)? Please include only full ministers and the prime minister in the count. Ministers are considered those members of government who are members of the Cabinet and who have Cabinet voting rights.  
**N/A**

3a. What was the party of the president *after* the most recent election?  
**Democratic Party**

3b. What was the party of the Prime Minister *after* the most recent election?  
**N/A**

3c. Report the number of cabinet ministers of each party or parties in cabinet, *after* the most recent election. (If one party holds all cabinet posts, simply write "all"). Ministers are considered those members of government who are members of the Cabinet and who have Cabinet voting rights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Political Party</th>
<th>Number of Cabinet Ministers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>N/A</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3d. What was the size of the cabinet *after* the election (total number of cabinet ministers detailed in 3c)? Please include only full ministers and the prime minister in the count. Ministers are considered those members of government who are members of the Cabinet and who have Cabinet voting rights.  
**N/A**
4a. How many political parties received votes in the election? In this answer, we want political parties, not merely alliances or coalitions of political parties. Please include all parties that received votes, but do not include independents. Where coalitions are present, please count all member parties separately – for instance, a coalition of three parties would count as three parties in your answer, not as one party.

Elections for the House of Representatives: 28 parties (only separate parties counted, not cases such as “Democratic; Working Families” which is counted as being Democratic party)

Senate elections: 16 parties

Presidential elections: 19 parties (plus 4 independents)

4b. Please provide a source of data and link to a website with official, detailed election results (votes and seats) for all parties participating in the election. If the data is not available electronically, please provide the information in paper format if possible.


4c. Please list all parties who received at least 1% of the vote nationally, and the applicable electoral results for each, in the following table. Please indicate the source (even if the same as in Question 4b), and add additional rows to the table as necessary. Please provide party names both in English and in the original language, if applicable.

Warning: I am not completely sure these are the most complete official election results. Hence, also the number of participating parties (above) might be incorrect.

Presidential elections


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presidential Candidate</th>
<th>Party Name</th>
<th>Number of Votes</th>
<th>% of Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barack H. Obama</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>69456897</td>
<td>52.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John S. McCain, III</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>59934814</td>
<td>45.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Nader</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>738475</td>
<td>0.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Barr</td>
<td>Libertarian</td>
<td>523686</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112554</td>
<td>0.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles O. Baldwin</td>
<td>Constitution</td>
<td>199314</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynthia A. McKinney</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>161603</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Keyes</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>47694</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Paul</td>
<td>LA Taxpayers</td>
<td>42426</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Róger Calero</td>
<td>Socialist Workers</td>
<td>5127</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloria LaRiva</td>
<td>Socialism and Liberation</td>
<td>6808</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Moore</td>
<td>Socialist</td>
<td>6528</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of these Candidates</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6267</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Duncan</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>3902</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Jay</td>
<td>Boston Tea Party</td>
<td>2422</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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John Joseph Polachek  New 1149 0.00%
Frank E. McEnulty  New American Independent 828 0.00%
Jeffrey J. Wamboldt  We, the People 764 0.00%
Thomas R. Stevens  Objectivist 755 0.00%
Gene C. Amondson  Prohibition 653 0.00%
Jeffrey Boss  Vote Here 639 0.00%
George D. J. Phillips  Libertarian 531 0.00%
Jonathan E. Allen  Independent 477 0.00%
Ted C. Weill  Reform 481 0.00%
Bradford Lyttle  US Pacifist 110 0.00%

Total 131254904 99.99%

2008 U.S. House Popular Vote and FEC Total Receipts by Party

http://www.thegreenpapers.com/G08/HouseVoteByParty.phtml

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>other Indep y</th>
<th>Candidate's Balloted Party(ies)</th>
<th>Affiliated Party or Classification</th>
<th>Candidates</th>
<th>Winners</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>58562574</td>
<td>47.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>50325796</td>
<td>41.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic; Working Families Scattering</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3603997</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic-Farmer Labor Democratic; Independence</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1612480</td>
<td>1.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libertarian</td>
<td>Libertarian</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1083027</td>
<td>0.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Republican; Conservative Republican; Conservative</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>963710</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>838520</td>
<td>0.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>668060</td>
<td>0.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>520436</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Party Affiliation</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>234111</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic; Nonpartisan League</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>194577</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence</td>
<td>Other Third Party</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>129747</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitution</td>
<td>Other Third Party</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>127127</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Party of Oregon</td>
<td>Other Third Party</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65109</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-in</td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57805</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican; Independence</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56354</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Green</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50293</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peace And Freedom</td>
<td>Other Third Party</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47659</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purple Party; Independent</td>
<td>Other Third Party</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29282</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>Other Third Party</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26182</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent American</td>
<td>Other Third Party</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22813</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reform</td>
<td>Reform</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22603</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Taxpayers</td>
<td>Other Third Party</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21057</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaskan Independence</td>
<td>Other Third Party</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14274</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Greens</td>
<td>Other Third Party</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14100</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaffiliated</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12915</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nomination by other than by</th>
<th>Candidate's Balloted</th>
<th>Affiliated Party or Classification</th>
<th>Candida tes</th>
<th>Winners</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32199306</td>
<td>48.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29729539</td>
<td>44.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Constitution</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socialist Workers Party</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Independent</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Affiliation</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9394</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominated By Petition</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5437</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty Union</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5307</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote People Change</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3708</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unity</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2176</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of these candidates</td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Senate

[http://www.thegreenpapers.com/G08/SenateVoteByParty.phtml](http://www.thegreenpapers.com/G08/SenateVoteByParty.phtml)
4d. What was the voter turnout in the election?
From: http://uselectionatlas.org/RESULTS/data.php?year=2008&datatype=national&def=vto&f=0&off=0&elect=0
Turnout - Percent of Voting Age Population - 58.3%
Turnout - Percent of Registered Voters - 74.4%

Wikipedia: Turnout=63.0%

4e. Please provide the following six statistics for the country at the time of the election studied, so that we may calculate voter turnout in various ways. Some definitions, where provided, are based on those developed by International IDEA.

- **Total Population:** 2000 Census: 281,998,273
  Definition: The total population includes all inhabitants, of all ages, both citizens and non-citizens (including illegal aliens).

- **Total Number of Voting Age Citizens:** 206,072,xxx (census report)

- **Total Number of Voting Age Citizens:** 213,313,508 (Prof. Michael McDonald, voting age, eligible voters estimate):
  http://elections.gmu.edu/Turnout_2008G.html
  Definition: This number is meant to approximate the eligible voting population.

- **Total Vote:** 131,254,904 (in presidential elections)
  Definition: The total number of votes cast in the relevant election. Total vote includes valid and invalid votes, as well as blank votes in cases where these are separated from invalid votes.

- **Total Number of Invalid and Blank Votes:** ??

- **Voting Age Population:** Estimated Voting age population (2000): 205,815,000
  Definition: Includes all persons above the legal voting age.

- **Number of Registered Voters:** Registered Voters (2000): 156,421,311
  Definition: The figure represents the number of names on the voters’ register at the time that the registration process closes, as reported by the electoral management body.
5. Ideological family of political parties. For this question, please use the same parties that were used in the CSES Module 3 respondent questionnaire, and label them the same way (A-I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party Name</th>
<th>Ideological Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Democratic Party</td>
<td>(F) Left Liberal Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Republican Party</td>
<td>(H) Right Liberal Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Libertarian party</td>
<td>(H) [or (G), in that order of pref]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Green</td>
<td>(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Constitution</td>
<td>(E) [or possibly (H)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Reform Party</td>
<td>(O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ideological Party Families:** (These are suggestions only. If a party does not fit well into this classification scheme, please provide an alternative and some explanation.)

- (A) Ecology Parties
- (B) Communist Parties
- (C) Socialist Parties
- (D) Social Democratic Parties
- (E) Conservative Parties
- (F) Left Liberal Parties
- (G) Liberal Parties
- (H) Right Liberal Parties
- (I) Christian Democratic Parties
- (J) National Parties
- (K) Independents
- (L) Agrarian Parties
- (M) Ethnic Parties
- (N) Regional Parties
- (O) Other Parties
6a. Ideological Positions of Parties:

Please indicate party positions on a left-right dimension (in the expert judgment of the CSES Collaborator). Please use the same parties that were used in the CSES Module 3 respondent questionnaire, and label them the same way (A-I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party Name</th>
<th>Left 0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Democratic Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Republican Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Libertarian party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Constitution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Reform Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only two asked in ANES, 2008
6b. If you have asked respondents to rank political parties on an alternative dimension, other than the left-right dimension, please also provide your own rankings of the parties on this dimension. Please use the same parties that were used in the CSES Module 3 respondent questionnaire, and label them the same way (A-I).

Name of dimension: Liberal vs. Conservative

Label for left hand position:

Label for right hand position:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party Name</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Democratic Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Republican Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Libertarian party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Constitution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Reform Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. In your view, what are the five most salient factors that affected the outcome of the election (e.g. major scandals; economic events; the presence of an independent actor; specific issues)? Rank them according to their salience (1 = most salient).

1. State of the economy

2. Wars (on Terror, in Iraq, in Afghanistan)

3. Performance of incumbent administration (George W. Bush)

4. Size of welfare state/economic fairness/inequality

5. “social” issues (abortion, religion, race, immigration)
8. Party Leaders and Presidential Candidates:

In the table below, report the leader(s) of each party. Please use the same parties that were used in the CSES Module 3 respondent questionnaire, and label them the same way (A-I). If candidates were endorsed by more than one party, please indicate this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party Name</th>
<th>Name of Party Leader</th>
<th>Name of Presidential Candidate, if appropriate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Democratic Party</td>
<td>Barack Obama</td>
<td>Barack Obama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Republican Party</td>
<td>John McCain</td>
<td>John McCain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Libertarian party</td>
<td>Bob Barr</td>
<td>Bob Barr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Green</td>
<td>Cynthia McKinney</td>
<td>Cynthia McKinney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Constitution</td>
<td>Chuck Baldwin</td>
<td>Chuck Baldwin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Reform Party</td>
<td>David Collison</td>
<td>Ted Weill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9a. Fairness of the Election
How impartial was the body that administered the election law?
[X] Very impartial
[ ] Mostly impartial
[ ] Not very impartial
[ ] Not impartial at all

9b. Was there a formal complaint against the national level results?
[ ] Yes
[X] No

9c. Were there irregularities reported by international election observers?
[ ] Yes
[ ] No
[X] No international election observers

9d. On what date was the election originally scheduled to be held?
November 4, 2008

9e. On what date was the election actually held? If different from 1d, please explain why.
November 4, 2008
10a. Election Violence

To what extent was there violence and voter or candidate intimidation during the election campaign and the election day?

[ ] No violence at all
[ ] Sporadic violence on the part of the government
[ ] Sporadic violence on the part of opposition groups
[ ] Sporadic violence on all sides
[ ] Significant violence on the part of the government
[ ] Significant violence on the part of opposition groups
[ ] Significant violence of all sides

10b. If there was violence, was it geographically concentrated or national?

[ ] Geographically concentrated
[ ] National

10c. Post-Election (and election-related) Violence

To what extent was there violence following the election?

[ ] No violence at all
[ ] Sporadic violence on the part of the government
[ ] Sporadic violence on the part of opposition groups
[ ] Sporadic violence on all sides
[ ] Significant violence on the part of the government
[ ] Significant violence on the part of opposition groups
[ ] Significant violence of all sides

10d. Post-Election (and election-related) Protest

To what extent was there protest following the election?

[ ] No protest at all
[ ] Sporadic protest
[ ] Significant protest
Questions about the Possibilities of Electoral Alliance

Definitions: A joint list refers to one on which candidates of different parties run together. Apparentement refers to a legal agreement between two or more lists to pool their votes for the purposes of an initial seat allocation, with seats initially allocated to the alliance then reallocated to the lists in the alliance.

11. Joint Lists/Candidates

There are multiple types of electoral alliances/coalitions, but we are explicitly interested in those involving joint lists or candidates - i.e. those where parties compete as a unit during the election.

Is this type of electoral coalition legally allowable? N/A
[ ] Yes
[ ] No

Is this type of electoral coalition used in practice, even if not legally allowable? N/A
[ ] Yes
[ ] No

If “Yes” was answered to either of the above questions, then please complete the following table for the election at which the Module was administered. Please mention only alliances that received at least 1% of the vote nationally. Add additional lines to the table as necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alliance Name</th>
<th>Participating Parties (please indicate dominant members with an “*”)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alliance 1:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliance 2:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliance 3:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliance 4:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliance 5:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. If joint lists are possible, are they subject to different regulations than single-party lists? For example, higher thresholds, different numbers of candidates that may appear on the list, etc. (please mark all applicable responses)

[ ] Yes, joint party lists must satisfy higher thresholds
[ ] Yes, joint party lists may present different numbers of candidates
[ ] Yes, joint party lists are subject to other regulations that are different from the regulations governing independent parties; please specify: __________
[ ] No, joint parties are governed by the same rules as the other parties
[ X] Not applicable; no joint party lists are allowed

13a. Is there apparentement or linking of lists?

[ ] Yes
[ X] No

13b. If apparentement is possible, what lists can participate in such agreements:

[ ] lists of the same party in the same constituency
[ ] lists of the same party from different constituencies
[ ] lists of different parties in the same constituency

N/A

14a. Can candidates run with the endorsement of more than one party?

[ x] Yes
[ ] No

Variable by states

14b. If candidates can run with the endorsement of more than one party, is this reflected on the ballot?

[ ] No
[ ] No party endorsements are indicated on the ballot paper
[ ] Yes, candidate's name appears once, together with the names of all supporting parties
[ ] Yes, candidate's name appears as many times as there are different parties endorsing him/her, each time with the name of the endorsing party
[ ] Yes, other; please explain: __________

All of the above (that is, variable by state and nearly everything included)
**Data on Electoral Institutions**

If possible, please supplement this section with copies of the electoral law, voters’ handbooks, electoral commission reports, and/or any other relevant materials.

Questions 15a through 21d must be repeated for each electoral tier (segment) of each directly elected house of the legislature.

**Electoral Tier (Segment) and House**

15a. In your answers for questions 15a through 21d, which electoral tier (segment) is being referred to? (Note: Countries with only one tier may skip this question.)

there is 1 segment/tier

15b. In your answers for questions 15a through 21d, which house is being referred to (lower or upper)? (Note: Countries with only one tier may skip this question.)

**House of Representatives (lower house)**

**Questions about Voting**

16a. How many votes do voters cast or can cast? In systems where voters rank order the candidates, if there are 10 candidates (for example), the response to this question should be 10.

1

16b. Do they vote for candidates (not party lists) or party lists? (Note: Collaborators may select multiple answers, if appropriate.)

(Definition: Party bloc voting is used in multi-member districts where voters cast a single party-centered vote for their party of choice; the party with the most votes wins all of the district seats.)

[ ] Candidates
[ ] Party Lists
[ ] Party Bloc Voting
[ ] Other; please explain: __________

16c. How many rounds of voting are there?

1

16d. If there are lists, are they closed, open, flexible, or is there party bloc voting?

[ ] Closed (order of candidates elected is determined by the party and voters are unable to express preference for a particular candidate)
[ ] Open (voters can indicate their preferred party and their favored candidate within that party)
[ ] Flexible (voters can allocate votes to candidates either within a single party list or across different party lists as they see fit)
17. Are the votes transferable?
(Definition: In systems with preferential voting, a voter can express a list of preferences. E.g., votes can be cast by putting a ‘1’ in the column next to the voter's preferred candidate, a ‘2’ beside their second favorite candidate and so on. Votes are counted according to the first preferences and any candidates who have achieved the predetermined quota are elected. To decide which of the remaining candidates are elected the votes are transferred from candidates who have more than the necessary number to achieve the quota and from the candidate with the least number of votes. An example of this is the election in Ireland in 2002.)

[ ] Yes
[X] No

18. If more than one vote can be cast, can they be cumulated?
(Definition: Cumulative voting refers to systems in which voters are allowed to cast more than one vote for a single candidate.)

[ ] Yes
[ ] No

N/A

19. Is voting compulsory?
(Definition: Voting is compulsory if the law states that all those who have the right to vote are obliged to exercise that right.)

[ ] Yes; Strictly Enforced Sanctions
[ ] Yes; Weakly Enforced Sanctions
[ ] Yes; Without Sanction for Violation
[X] No

20. Please list and describe any other features of voting that should be noted.

- 435 electoral districts, one representative per district is elected
- Electoral formula: Candidate with simple plurality wins that seat.
Questions about Converting Votes into Seats

21a. Are there legally mandated thresholds that a party must exceed before it is eligible to receive seats?
   [ ] Yes
   [X] No

21b. If YES in Question 21a, what is the threshold?

21c. If YES in Question 21a, what is the unit for the threshold mentioned in Question 21b?
   [ ] Percent of total votes
   [ ] Percent of valid votes
   [ ] Percent of the total electorate
   [ ] Other; please explain: __________

21d. If YES in Question 21a, please specify to what House/ Electoral Tier (Segment) the threshold(s) apply.

Please repeat questions 15a through 21d for each electoral tier (segment) of each directly elected house of the legislature. Countries with only one tier should proceed to Question 22.
Electoral Tier (Segment) and House

15a. In your answers for questions 15a through 21d, which electoral tier (segment) is being referred to? (Note: Countries with only one tier may skip this question.)

There is 1 segment/tier

15b. In your answers for questions 15a through 21d, which house is being referred to (lower or upper)? (Note: Countries with only one tier may skip this question.)

Senate (upper house)

Questions about Voting

16a. How many votes do voters cast or can cast? In systems where voters rank order the candidates, if there are 10 candidates (for example), the response to this question should be 10. A single vote for each Senate seat.

16b. Do they vote for candidates (not party lists) or party lists? (Note: Collaborators may select multiple answers, if appropriate.)

(Definition: Party bloc voting is used in multi-member districts where voters cast a single party-centered vote for their party of choice; the party with the most votes wins all of the district seats.)

[X] Candidates
[ ] Party Lists
[ ] Party Bloc Voting
[ ] Other; please explain: __________

16c. How many rounds of voting are there?
1

16d. If there are lists, are they closed, open, flexible, or is there party bloc voting?

[ ] Closed (order of candidates elected is determined by the party and voters are unable to express preference for a particular candidate)

[ ] Open (voters can indicate their preferred party and their favored candidate within that party)

[ ] Flexible (voters can allocate votes to candidates either within a single party list or across different party lists as they see fit)
17. Are the votes transferable?
(Definition: In systems with preferential voting, a voter can express a list of preferences. E.g., votes can be cast by putting a '1' in the column next to the voter's preferred candidate, a '2' beside their second favorite candidate and so on. Votes are counted according to the first preferences and any candidates who have achieved the predetermined quota are elected. To decide which of the remaining candidates are elected the votes are transferred from candidates who have more than the necessary number to achieve the quota and from the candidate with the least number of votes. An example of this is the election in Ireland in 2002.)

[ ] Yes
[X] No

18. If more than one vote can be cast, can they be cumulated?
(Definition: Cumulative voting refers to systems in which voters are allowed to cast more than one vote for a single candidate.)

[ ] Yes
[ ] No

N/A

19. Is voting compulsory?
(Definition: Voting is compulsory if the law states that all those who have the right to vote are obliged to exercise that right.)

[ ] Yes; Strictly Enforced Sanctions
[ ] Yes; Weakly Enforced Sanctions
[ ] Yes; Without Sanction for Violation
[X] No

20. Please list and describe any other features of voting that should be noted.

- Electoral formula: In most states, simple plurality.
- 50 primary electoral districts
- 2 members/Senators are elected from each district, with staggered, six-year terms
Questions about Converting Votes into Seats

21a. Are there legally mandated thresholds that a party must exceed before it is eligible to receive seats?
   [ ] Yes
   [X] No

21b. If YES in Question 21a, what is the threshold?

21c. If YES in Question 21a, what is the unit for the threshold mentioned in Question 21b?
   [ ] Percent of total votes
   [ ] Percent of valid votes
   [ ] Percent of the total electorate
   [ ] Other; please explain: __________

21d. If YES in Question 21a, please specify to what House/ Electoral Tier (Segment) the threshold(s) apply.

Please repeat questions 15a through 21d for each electoral tier (segment) of each directly elected house of the legislature. Countries with only one tier should proceed to Question 22.
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