

Prepared by: David Hedegaard Andersen

Date: 08.02.05

Comparative Study of Electoral Systems Module 2: Macro Report

August 23, 2004

Country: **Denmark**

Date of Election: **20.11.01**

NOTE TO COLLABORATORS: The information provided in this report contributes to an important part of the CSES project. Your efforts in providing these data are greatly appreciated! Any supplementary documents that you can provide (e.g., electoral legislation, party manifestos, electoral commission reports, media reports) are also appreciated, and may be made available on the CSES website.

Part I: Data Pertinent to the Election at which the Module was Administered

1. Report the number of portfolios (cabinet posts) held by each party in cabinet, *prior* to the most recent election. (If one party holds all cabinet posts, simply write "all".)

<u>Name of Political Party</u>	<u>Number of Portfolios</u>
Left, Liberal Party	42
Social Democrats	63
Danish People's Party	13
Conservative People's Party	16
Socialist People's Party	13
Radical Left, Social Liberal Party	7
Red-Green Unity List	5
Centrist Democrats	8
Christian People's Party	4
Progress Party	4
Total:	175

1a. What was the size of the cabinet before the election?

179 (2 mandates from Greenland, 2 mandates from Faroe Islands)¹

¹ The mandates is equally distributed

2. Report the number of portfolios (cabinet posts) held by each party in cabinet, *after* the most recent election. (If one party holds all cabinet posts, simply write "all").

<u>Name of Political Party</u>	<u>Number of Portfolios</u>
Left, Liberal Party	56
Social Democrats	52
Danish People's Party	22
Conservative People's Party	16
Socialist People's Party	12
Radical Left, Social Liberal Party	9
Red-Green Unity List	4
Centrist Democrats	0
Christian People's Party	4
Progress Party	0
Total:	175

2a. What was the size of the cabinet after the election?

179 (2 mandates from Greenland, 2 mandates from Faroe Islands)

3. Political Parties (most active during the election in which the module was administered and receiving at least 3% of the vote):

Party Name/Label	Year Party Founded	Ideological Family	European Parliament Political Group (where applicable)	International Party Organizational Membership
A. Social Democrats (A)	1871	Social Democratic Parties	Party of European Socialists	Socialist International
B. Radical Left, Social Liberal Party (B)	1905	Liberal Parties	European Liberal, Democrat and Reform Party	
C. Conservative People's Party (C)	1870	Conservative Parties	European People's Party	
D. Socialist People's Party (F)	1959	Socialist Parties	European Free Alliance/Greens	
E. Left, Liberal Party(V)	1870	Liberal Parties	European Liberal, Democrat and Reform Party	Liberal International
F. Danish People's Party (O)	1995	National Parties	Europe for the Nations	
G. Christian People's Party (Q)	1970	Christian Democratic Parties	European People's Party (Christian Democrats)	
H. Red-Green Unity	1989	Red-Green Parties	Not Applicable	

List (Ø)			
----------	--	--	--

Ideological Party Families: (These are suggestions only. If a party does not fit well into this classification scheme, please provide an alternative and some explanation).

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| (A) Ecology Parties | (G) Liberal Parties | (M) Agrarian Parties |
| (B) Communist Parties | (H) Right Liberal Parties | (N) Ethnic Parties |
| (C) Socialist Parties | (I) Christian Democratic Parties | (O) Regional Parties |
| (D) Social Democratic Parties | (J) National Parties | (P) Other Parties |
| (E) Conservative Parties | (K) Independents | |
| (F) Left Liberal Parties | (L) Single Issue Parties | |

The following lists provide examples of political groups and organizations to which a particular party might belong. Please report any and all international affiliations for each party.

European Parliament Political Groups:

- (1) European People's Party
- (2) European Democrats
- (3) Party of European Socialists
- (4) European Liberal, Democrat and Reform Party
- (5) Confederal Group of European United Left
- (6) Nordic Green Left
- (7) Greens
- (8) European Free Alliance
- (9) Europe for the Nations
- (10) Europe of Democracies and Diversities
- (00) Not Applicable
- (98) Don't Know

International Party Organizations:

- (11) Asia Pacific Socialist Organization
- (12) Caribbean Democratic Union
- (13) Christian Democratic International
- (14) Christian Democratic Organization of America
- (15) Council of Asian Liberals and Democrats
- (16) Democratic Union of Africa
- (17) Eastern European Social Democratic Forum
- (18) Green Movement
- (19) Humanist Party
- (20) International Communist Union
- (21) International Democrat Union
- (22) International League of Democratic Socialists
- (23) Liberal International
- (24) Natural Law Party
- (25) Pacific Democratic Union
- (26) Organization of African Liberal Parties
- (27) Socialist International
- (28) Socialist Inter-Africa
- (00) Not Applicable
- (98) Don't Know

3a. Were there any significant parties not represented in parliament *before* the election?
None

3b. Were there any significant parties not represented in parliament *after* the election?
The Centrist Democrats and to a lesser extent the Progress Party (Both after nearly 20 years in parliament).

3c. Were there any other non-represented parties or independent actors whom you believe to have had a significant effect on the election?
No

4a. Ideological Positions of Parties:

It's quite difficult to list the parties in a left-right scale, because there is naturally a greater complexity, for example the Danish People's Party is really concerned with the wellbeing of elderly people, but in the same time to a great extent against any foreigners immigrating to Denmark.²

Please indicate Parties A-F's positions on a left-right dimension (in the expert judgment of the CSES Collaborator). If this dimension is not appropriate, please provide an explanation of the salient cleavages, and parties' relative positions.

Party Name	Left										Right	
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
A. Social Democrats					X							
B. Social Liberal Party						X						
C. Conservative People's Party							X					
D. Socialist People's Party			X									
E. Liberal								X				
F. Danish People's Party											X	
G. Christian People's Party						X						
H. Red-Green Unity List		X										

4aa. Do you believe there would be general consensus on these placements among informed observers in your country?

Yes

² This scale has since aprox. 1990 been split in two types of policies: Distribution and Values

4b. If you have asked respondents to rank political parties on an alternative dimension, other than the left-right dimension, please also provide your own rankings of the parties on this dimension.

Name of dimension:

Label for left hand position:

Label for right hand position:

Party Name	Left										Right
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
A.											
B.											
C.											
D.											
E.											
F.											

4bb. Do you believe there would be general consensus about these placements among informed observers in your country?

5. In your view, what are the five most salient factors that affected the outcome of the election (e.g. major scandals; economic events; the presence of an independent actor; specific issues)?

1. Dwindling welfare services were a main theme of the election (Including: Education, health care and the elderly).

2. A rough debate in the media about immigrants and foreigners was another significant factor that affected the outcome of the election.

3. The economy in general was also a salient factor

4. Taxes

5.

5a. Do you believe there would be general consensus about the importance of these factors among informed observers in your country?

Yes

6. Electoral Alliances:

Sometimes, electoral alliances are made at the constituency level as, for example, in Finland. Documenting who is allied with whom, and how, in each constituency is a large task and we do not expect you to do more than make some general reference to the existence of constituency-level alliances. Sometimes, electoral alliances are made at the national level -- these are the alliances that we would like you to identify. Information is sought on who is allied with whom and on the nature of the electoral alliance.

a) Were electoral alliances permitted during the election campaign?

- No
 Yes

If yes, please complete the following:

<u>Alliance Name</u>	<u>Participant Parties (please indicate dominant members with an "*")</u>
----------------------	---

Alliance 1:

Alliance 2:

Alliance 3:

Alliance 4:

Alliance 5:

7. Party Leaders and Presidential Candidates:

In legislative elections, please report the leader of each party.

In presidential elections, list presidential candidates and their parties. If candidates were endorsed by more than one party, please indicate this below.

<u>Party of Candidate</u>	<u>Name of Party Leader</u>
A. Social Democrats	Poul Nyrup Rasmussen
B. Radical left, Social Liberal Party	Marianne Jelved
C. Conservative People's Party	Bendt Bendtsen
D. Socialist People's Party	Holger K. Nielsen
E. Left, Liberal Party	Anders Fogh Rasmussen
F. Danish People's Party	Pia Kjærsgaard
G. Christian People's Party	Jan Sjursen
H. Red-Green Unity List	Frank Aaen (no official leader)
The two parties which lost their cabinet posts:	
I. Progress Party	Mogens Glistrup
J. Centrist Democrats	Mimi Jakobsen

Part II: Data on Electoral Institutions

If possible, please supplement this section with copies of the electoral law, voters' handbooks, electoral commission reports, and/or any other relevant materials.

A. QUESTIONS ABOUT ELECTORAL DISTRICTS.

Definitions: An electoral district is defined as a geographic area within which votes are counted and seats allocated. If a district cannot be partitioned into smaller districts within which votes are counted and seats allocated, it is called primary. If it can be partitioned into primary districts, and during the counting process there is some transfer of votes and/or seats from the primary districts to the larger district, then the larger district is called secondary. If a district can be partitioned into secondary districts (again with some transfer of votes and/or seats), it is called tertiary.

In some electoral systems, there are electoral districts that are geographically nested but not otherwise related for purposes of seat allocation. In Lithuania, for example, there are 71 single-member districts that operate under a majority runoff system, and also a single nationwide district that operates under proportional representation (the largest remainders method with the Hare quota). Neither votes nor seats from the single-member districts transfer to the nationwide district, however. The two processes are entirely independent (with voters having one vote in each district). In this case, the nationwide district, although it contains the 71 single-member districts, is not considered to be secondary. It is primary. One might say that there are two segments to the electoral system in such cases.

1. How many segments (as just defined) are there in the electoral system?

- 1 segment
- 2 segments
- 3 segments

Please answer the following questions (questions 2 through 11) for each segment of each directly elected house of the legislature:

2. How many primary electoral districts are there?

103

3. For each primary electoral district, how many members are elected from each district?
(If district variation exists, answer 3a)

3a. If districts elect varying number of members, please list the districts, indicating the number of members elected from that district. (Attach separate sheets, as required).

District (*Kreds*) Number of Members (*mandater*)

Total: 135 mandates

Kredsmandater

Søndre	4
Østre	6
Vestre	4
Københavns	14
Frederiksborg	9
Roskilde	5
Vestsjællands	8
Bornholms	2
Fyns	12
Sønderjyllands	7
Ribe	6
Vejle	9
Ringkøbing	7
Århus	16
Viborg	6
Nordjyllands	13

Tillægsmandater

København-Frederiksberg	4
Øerne	16
Jylland	20

Tillægsmandater (Additional mandates): 40 mandates: These extra mandates are split between the parties. There are three ways to get them:

1. That a party has achieved at least one *kredsmandat*.

2. That a party in two out of three tertiary segments has achieved as much votes as an average *kredsmandat* would get in the segment.
3. That a party has achieved at least 2% of the total votes.

4. How many secondary electoral districts are there?
17

5. How many tertiary electoral districts are there?
3

If possible, please summarize the information above for questions 1-3 and 4-5 in the table here:

Chambers/Houses		Lower			Upper		
Segments	Number	1	2	3 or more	1	2	3 or more
Primary districts	Number No. of members*	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Secondary districts	Number No. of members*	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Tertiary districts	Number No. of members*	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

*Please report the total number of members for all districts, per segment.

B. QUESTIONS ABOUT VOTING.

6. Exactly how are votes cast by voters?

Votes are cast on Election Day at a designated location.

6a. How many votes do they or can they cast?

One.

6b. Do they vote for candidates, for lists, or for both? (please explain)

They do both - a vote for the party or a personal vote.

7. Are the votes transferable?

Yes.

8. If more than one vote can be cast, can they be cumulated?

9. Are there any other features of voting that should be noted?

No

C. QUESTIONS ABOUT CONVERTING VOTES INTO SEATS.

10. Exactly how are votes converted into seats?

***Kredsmandater* and *tillægsmandater* are distributed on the secondary and tertiary segments by a mathematic key: Census 1. January 2000 plus the total voter number at the Parliamentary election in 1998 plus the area multiplied with twenty. This means that the least populated areas are secured a proportional greater representation.**

When the result of the election is known the 135 *kredsmandater* are distributed. The procedure is that the votes are divided by 1, 4, 3, 5, 7, etc. and mandates are distributed by the largest quotients.

When the number of *kredsmandater* are specified then the *tillægsmandater* are distributed among the parties, which are entitled (see above).

When the number of entitled parties is found the 175 mandates are distributed between the parties according to their number of votes. The difference between the *kredsmandater* and the total number of mandates are equal to 40 *tillægsmandater*, which are distributed on the three tertiary segments by dividing the voting numbers by the distribution numbers 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, etc. -except the largest quotients which already are “occupied” by the *kredsmandater*.

After the *tillægsmandater* distribution on the tertiary segments they are distributed on the secondary segments by the divisors 1, 4, 7, 10 which considers the smaller districts. Here also except the largest quotients which already are “occupied” by the *kredsmandater*.

10a. Are there legally mandated thresholds that a party must exceed before it is eligible to receive seats?

If so, what is the threshold?

2% of the total votes

10b. What electoral formula or formulas are used?

The Danish electorate system is based on the ideas of the Belgian mathematician Victor d’Hondt from 1899. And later changed by Sainte-Laquë and the Swedish Riksdag.

11. If there are lists, are they closed, open, or flexible?

- closed
- flexible, but in practice virtually closed
- flexible

- flexible, but in practice virtually open
- open

Definitions: A list is closed if the seats that are awarded to that list are always occupied by the candidates in order of their appearance on the list (i.e., if the list gets x seats then necessarily the top x names on the list get the seats). A list is open if the question of which candidates on the list get the seats that are awarded to the list is determined solely by the votes that each candidate receives. A list is flexible if parties place their candidates in the order they would like to see them elected, but voters can, with varying degrees of ease, change this order through votes they cast for individual candidates.

Please repeat questions 2 through 11 of this section for each segment of each directly elected house of the legislature.

D. QUESTIONS ABOUT THE POSSIBILITIES OF ELECTORAL ALLIANCE.

Definitions: A joint list refers to one on which candidates of different parties run together.

Apparentement refers to a legal agreement between two or more lists to pool their votes for the purposes of an initial seat allocation, with seats initially allocated to the alliance then reallocated to the lists in the alliance.

12. What are the possibilities of forming alliances in the system?

None

12a. Can parties run joint lists?

Yes

No

12b. Is there apparentement or linking of lists

Yes

No

12c. Can candidates run with the endorsement of more than one party?

Yes

No

12d. Do parties withdraw their lists or candidates in some constituencies, urging their supporters there to vote for an ally's list or candidate?

Yes

No

12e. Other?

13. Are joint lists possible?

No

13a. If joint lists are possible, are they subject to different regulations than single-party lists? For example, higher thresholds, different numbers of candidates that may appear on the list, etc.

14. If apparentement is possible, what lists can participate in such agreements:

- lists of the same party in the same constituency
- lists of the same party from different constituencies
- lists of different parties in the same constituency

15. If candidates can run with the endorsement of more than one party, is this reflected on the ballot?

- No
- No party endorsements are indicated on the ballot paper
- Yes, candidate's name appears once, together with the names of all supporting parties
- Yes, candidate's name appears as many times as there are different parties endorsing him/her, each time with the name of the endorsing party
- Yes, other (please explain):

Part III: Data on Regime Type

Below are various questions about the type of regime--presidential, parliamentary, semi-presidential--in your country. There are two potential problems with these questions that should be noted at the outset. First, in some countries there may be a discrepancy between the *de jure* (or legal) situation and the *de facto* (or practical) situation. For example, in Great Britain the Queen still possesses a legal right to veto legislation, but this right has not been exercised since 1707. In the case of such obviously obsolete powers, please answer according to the *de facto* situation. Otherwise, describe the *de jure* situation. A second potential problem is that the questions may not be phrased optimally for the situation in your particular country. In such cases, please answer as best you can, providing some indication of the difficulties as you see them.

A. QUESTIONS REGARDING THE HEAD OF STATE.

Definitions: The Head of State is typically the highest ranking official in the executive branch of government. Often, this position is held by a president or a monarch, and may be more ceremonial than effective. The Head of Government is usually the highest ranking official in the legislative branch of government. In some systems, this may be someone other than the Head of State (i.e. the prime minister in the Westminster systems), while in other cases, the roles of the Head of State and Head of Government are combined (i.e. in the United States, the president serves as both the Head of State and the Head of Government).

1. Who is the Head of State?

- President
- Monarch
- Prime Minister serves as ceremonial head of state
- Other (please specify)

2. How is the head of state selected?

- Direct election
- Indirect election
- Birth right
- Divine right
- Other (Explain)

The leader of the party which gets the most votes and has a majority with other parties in the Parliament is chosen.

2a. If by direct election, by what process?

- Plurality election
- Run-off or two-ballot system
- Other (Explain)

2a1. If by run-off system, how are the candidates selected for the final round?

- The _____(##) candidates with the highest vote totals advance to the second round
- Any candidate with at least _____% of the popular vote advances to the second round

2b. If by indirect election, by what process?

- Electoral college
- Selection by the legislature
- Other (Explain)

2b1. If by electoral college, how are electors chosen?

2b2. Does the electoral college deliberate?

- Yes
- No

2b3. What is the voting procedure used by the electoral college?

2b4. If by the legislature, by which chamber(s) of the legislature? What is the voting procedure used?

3. Does the Head of State have the following powers? Please check all that apply:

3a. Introduce legislation?

- Yes
- No

3b. Require expedited action on specific legislation? (i.e., set a deadline by which the legislature is required to act on the bill)?

- Yes
- No

Definitions: A Head of State possesses a partial veto when he or she can target specific clauses of a piece of legislation for veto, while promulgating the rest. In the U.S., such vetoes are sometimes called line item vetoes. A Head of State possesses a package veto when he or she can veto the entire piece of legislation submitted by the legislature, but cannot veto some parts and accept others.

3c. Package veto?

- No
- Yes, and the requirement to override the veto is:

3d. Partial veto?

- No
- Yes, and the requirement to override the veto is:

3e. Issue decrees with the power of law?

- Yes
- No

3f. Emergency powers?

- Yes
- No

3g. Negotiate treaties and international agreements?

- No
- Yes, provided the following additional requirements are met:
Majority in the Parliament behind the decision

3h. Commander of the armed forces?

- Yes
- No

3i. Initiate referenda or plebiscites?

- Yes
- No

3j. Refer legislation to the judicial branch for review of constitutionality?

- Yes
- No

3k. Convene special legislative sessions?

- Yes
- No

B. QUESTIONS ABOUT THE HEAD OF GOVERNMENT.

Definitions: In some countries, the Head of Government is directly elected, in elections that may or may not occur concurrently with legislative elections. In these cases, the Head of Government is said to be elected independently of the legislature. In others, the Head of Government is the leader of the governing party or governing coalition in the legislature, and so, the selection of the Head of Government depends upon the distribution of seats in the legislature. In these cases, the Head of Government is not elected independently of the legislature.

4. Is the Head of Government elected independently of the legislature?

- Yes
- No

4a. Is the Head of Government also the Head of State?

- Yes
- No

4b. If the Head of Government is not elected independently of the legislature, how is the Head of Government selected?

- Appointed by the head of state alone
- Appointed by the legislature alone
- Nominated by the head of state, and approved by the legislature
- Nominated by the legislature, and approved by the head of state
- Other (Please explain):

5. What authorities does the Head of Government have over the composition of the cabinet?

Please check all that apply.

- Names ministers and assigns portfolios alone
- Nominates ministers for approval by the president
- Reviews and approves ministerial nominations made by the president
- Dismisses ministers and reassigns portfolios at own discretion
- Other (Please explain):

6. What authorities does the Head of Government have over the policy making process?

Please check all that apply:

- Chairs cabinet meetings
- Determines schedule of issues to be considered by the legislature
- Determines which alternatives will be voted on by the legislature, and in which order
- Refers legislative proposals to party or legislative committees
- Calls votes of confidence in government
- Other (Please explain):

C. QUESTIONS REGARDING THE CABINET

7. By what method(s) can the cabinet be dismissed? Please check all that apply:

- By the head of state acting alone
- By the prime minister acting alone
- By majority vote of the legislature where a majority of all legislators is required
- By majority vote of the legislature where a majority of those legislators voting is required
- By some combination of the above, acting in concert (Please explain):
- Other (Please explain):

D. QUESTIONS REGARDING THE LEGISLATURE

8. Can the legislature be dissolved prior to regularly scheduled elections? No Yes: _____

- By the head of state acting alone
- By the prime minister acting alone
- By majority vote of the legislature
- By some combination of the above, acting in concert (Please explain):
- Other (Please explain):

9. If the legislature can be dissolved prior to regularly scheduled elections, are there restrictions on when and how the legislature can be dissolve? Please check all that apply:

- On the timing of dissolution (e.g. not within one year after a legislative election) (Please explain):
- As a response to action/inaction by the legislature (e.g. only when the legislature has censured the cabinet; only if the legislature fails to pass the budget) (Please explain):
- Other (Explain):

10. Is there a second chamber of the legislature? No Yes _____, it is:
 directly elected
 indirectly elected through the following process:

10a. If there is a second chamber and it is indirectly elected, are those who choose its members:
 wholly regional and/or local officials?
 partly regional and/or local officials?
 not necessarily regional and/or local officials?

10b. If there is a second chamber, does it have specific and exclusive legislative powers (i.e. the power to reject the executive's proposed budget)?
 No
 Yes (Please explain):

10c. If there is a second chamber, does it have the power to remove the cabinet or censure its ministers?
 Yes
 No

E. FEDERALISM

11. Is there a constitutionally guaranteed division of power between the central government and regional and/or local governments?
 Yes
 No

12. Does the central government have the power to remove elected officials of regional and/or local governments?
 Yes
 No

F. REFERENCES

Please list any resources that were consulted in the preparation of this report, or that the CSES community may find especially helpful in understanding the political system described here.