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A. Study Design

- Post-Election Study
- Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study

Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: 20 June 2005

Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: 24 Nov 2005

If Panel Study:
Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began:

Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:

Mode of (post-election) interview:
- In person, face-to-face
- Telephone
- Mail or self-completion supplement

The CSES questions were implemented in a self-completion supplement to the annual British Social Attitudes (BSA) survey. This self-completion supplement is left behind by the interviewer at the end of a face-to-face interview and picked up later. The BSA sample was divided into four equal sized random subsamples, which were each given different versions of the questionnaire (A, B, C and D). The CSES questions were on version B of the BSA self-completion.

Language(s) used in questionnaire(s) (Please provide copies of questionnaires in all languages used, as well as a version translated in English, if applicable, as part of the Election Study Deposit):

English
B. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures

1. Eligibility Requirements
   a) Age: Minimum ___18___ Maximum ___None
   b) Citizenship: Yes ______ No ___ √ __
   c) Other requirements: Resident in private household (i.e. not institutions)

2. Sample Frame:
   a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?
      No _____ Yes ___ √ ___
      If yes, please explain:
      Sample frame covered Britain (England, Scotland, Wales) – i.e. Northern Ireland was excluded
      Also, Scotland north of the Caledonian Canal was excluded

   b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample?
      No _____ Yes ___ √ ___
      Please explain:
      Sample covered people living in private households only.

   c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample?
      No _____ Yes ___ √ ___
      Please explain: If stationed abroad but not if stationed in Britain.
d) If interviews were conducted by telephone: No
   i. What is the estimated percentage of households without a phone: ______% 
   ii. Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled? 
       No_____ Yes_____ 
       Please explain: 
   iii. Were substitution methods used for unproductive sample points? 
       No___ ✓__ Yes_____ 
       Please explain: 

e) Were other persons excluded from the sample frame? 
   No__ ✓__ Yes_____ 
   Please explain: 

f) Estimated total (a + b + c + d + e) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: 

This information is not available. Given that the Post Address File (PAF, see description below), includes the people that needed to be excluded for CSES purposes, we know that the proportion of the population excluded is more than 3.1%. We cannot, however, provide an exact estimate.

The sample frame was the Postcode Address File, a list of addresses maintained by the Post Office. Estimates based on the 1991 Census Validation Study suggest that PAF has a 96.4% coverage of households and a 96.9% coverage of individuals (Foster, K. (1994), ‘The coverage of the Postcode Address File as a sampling frame’, OPCS Survey Methods Bulletin, 34.).
3. Sample Selection Procedures:

   a) What were the primary sampling units?

   Postcode sectors

   b) Were the primary sampling units randomly selected?

      No _____ Yes __  ✓ __

      Please explain:

      Postcode sectors were stratified by region, population density and percent owner-owner-occupiers. (Percent non-manual head of household was used instead of percent owner-occupiers in Scotland). Sectors were drawn from this list at regular intervals using a random start, i.e. systematic random sample.

   c) Were there further stages of selection?

      No _____ Yes __  ✓ __

      Please explain:

      Within each selected postcode sector, addresses were drawn using regular intervals and a random start.

   d) How were individual respondents identified?

      At selected addresses, the interviewer enumerated any dwelling units within the address and if there were more than one, selected one using computer-generated random numbers and a Kish grid.

      At the (selected) dwelling unit, the interviewer enumerated all residents aged 18+ and selected one using computer-generated random numbers and a Kish grid.

   e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non-sample?

      Please check all that apply:

      ✓ Non-residential sample point
      ✓ All members of household are ineligible
      ✓ Housing unit is vacant
      ☐ No answer at housing unit after _______ callbacks
      ☐ Other (Please explain):
f) Were non-sample replacement methods used?

No ___ ✓ ___ Yes ______

Please describe:

g). For surveys conducted by telephone:

i. Was the sample a random digit dial (RDD) sample? Yes _____ No _____

ii. Was the sample a listed sample? Yes _____ No _____

iii. Was the sample a dual frame sample? No _____ Yes _____

If yes, what % list frame _______ and what % RDD _________

h) For surveys conducted by mail:

Was the sample a listed sample?

Yes _____ No _____

Please describe:
4. Compliance:

Prior to the study:

a) Was a letter sent to respondent?

No_____  Yes___✓
(If yes, please include a copy of the letter in the Deposit)

b) Was payment sent to respondent?

No✓___  Yes____

If yes, please describe:

c) Was a token gift sent to respondent?

No___✓  Yes____

If yes, please describe:

d) Were any other incentives used?

No_____  Yes___✓

If yes, please describe:

£5 (approx. 8.65 USD) gift voucher was sent to all respondents who took part. This was mentioned in the advance letter.
5. During the Field Period:

a) How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it non-sample?

As many as necessary to establish non-eligibility. (Cases of uncertain eligibility due to non-contact are treated as non-interview rather than non-sample).

b) How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it non-interview?

At least 4 calls.

c) Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted?

No maximum set.

d) Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they re-contacted the household? No____ Yes__

Please describe:

Before a household was recorded as a non-contact, the interviewer had to make at least 4 calls at different times of the day, of which at least one in the evening and one at a weekend.

e) Refusal Conversion:

i. Were efforts made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed? No____ Yes__

Please describe:

Practically all refusals and non-contacts (over a quarter of the original issued sample) were re-issued to another interviewer to try again.

ii. Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? No____ Yes__

If so, please describe (in addition, please include a copy of the letter in the deposit):
iii. Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part?  
   No ___  Yes ____  
   If yes, how much?  
   Just the £5 voucher sent to all respondents (see above).

iv. Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more 
    experienced interviewer?  Yes ___  No ____  

v. What was the maximum number of re-contacts used to persuade respondent 
    to be interviewed?  
    No maximum set  

vi. Were any other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be 
    interviewed to take part?  
    No ___  Yes ____  
    Please describe:  

6. Response Rate:  
   (Note: if a panel study, please report response rate of the first wave)  
   Total number of sample lines issued: 2145  
      Number of refusals: 662  
      Number never contacted (no-contact): 111  
      Other non-response: 113  
      Face-to-face interview conducted but no 
      self-completion 215  
      Number of lines of non-sample: 184  
   Total number of completed interviews: 860  
   Response Rate: 40%
7. Panel Attrition:
(Note: This only applies if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study):

Total number of respondents in Wave I of the study:
Number of Wave I respondents re-interviewed in wave containing CSES Module:
Percent total panel attrition:

8. Panel attrition by age and education (given as percentages; please indicate whether numbers provided are % re-interviewed or % attrition):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-40</td>
<td>Incomplete primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-65</td>
<td>Primary completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 &amp; over</td>
<td>Incomplete secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University degree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Sample Weights

a) Are weights included in the data file?
   No_____ Yes___

   Please describe how the weights were constructed:

   A note outlining the details of the weighting strategy is attached. This is summarised in b)-d) below.

   Very large and very small values for the resultant weights were trimmed to avoid inefficiencies arising from a very wide range of weights. The final weights are scaled so that the weighted sample size of the whole of the BSA sample is equal to the unweighted sample size. As the CSES dataset is a subset of the whole BSA, the weighted and unweighted sample sizes for CSES differ slightly.

b) Are the weights designed to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the respondent/household level?
   No_____ Yes___

   Please describe:
Addresses were selected with equal probability but only one person interviewed at each address. Respondents at multi-household addresses and/or in large households, therefore had less chance of selection than respondents at single household addresses and/or in small households. The weights compensate for this.

c) Are the weights designed to match known demographic characteristics of the population?
   No_____  Yes✓_____

Please describe:

The weights were matched to the age/sex distribution by region of the population aged 18+.

d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response?
   No_____  Yes✓_____

Please describe:

The data were modelled using information available about both interviews and non-interviews. This information included local area statistics supplied with the sample and interviewer observation variables. Variables significantly associated with response included % ethnic minorities in the local area, % households who are owner-occupiers in the local area and region.
10. a) Please describe the interviewers (e.g., age, level of education, years of experience):

Not available

b) Please provide a description of interviewer training:

All interviewers receive two days’ basic training, which includes laptop training. They are graded from Grade A, for new to Grade D for senior interviewers. The higher grades attract higher fee payments. To gain promotion through the grades, interviewers must complete their assignments satisfactorily, keep to deadlines, achieve high response rates and receive good supervision reports. After 18 months to two years, they are invited to an Intermediate Training School, which includes a written test.

In addition, all interviewers attended one-day project-specific briefing conferences conducted by the project researchers.

11. Comparison of Sample to Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Population Estimates</th>
<th>Sample Estimates</th>
<th>Sample Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unweighted</td>
<td>Weighted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-40</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-66</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and over</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete Primary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete Secondary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Secondary Trade/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Degree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>