

**Prepared by: Bernhard Wessels**

**Date: 26.6.2007**

**COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL SYSTEMS**  
**Module 2: Sample Design and Data Collection Report**  
August 23, 2004

**Country: Germany**

**Date of Election: 22.9.2002**

Type of Election (e.g., presidential, parliamentary, legislative): Parliamentary

Organization that conducted the survey field work:  
University of Bamberg

**Investigators Responsible for Data Collection:**

Name: Prof. Dr. Hans Rattinger

Name: Prof. Dr. Oscar Gabriel

Affiliation: Universität Bamberg

Affiliation: Universität Stuttgart

Address:

Address:

Fax:

Fax:

Phone:

Phone:

E-mail: hans.rattinger@sowi.uni-bamberg.de

E-mail: oscar.gabriel@sowi.uni-stuttgart.de

Name: Prof. Dr. Jürgen W. Falter

Name:

Affiliation: Universität Mainz

Affiliation:

Address:

Address:

Fax:

Fax:

Phone:

Phone:

E-mail: falter@politik.uni-mainz.de

E-mail:

## A. Study Design

- Post-Election Study  
X Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study

Part of the project "Politische Einstellungen, politische Partizipation und Wählerverhalten im vereinigten Deutschland. Ein Langfristvergleich von Determinanten und Konsequenzen" - Deutsche Nationale Wahlstudie“, Post-election drop-off to the face-to-face pre-election survey.

Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: 23. Sept. 2002

Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: 31. Okt. 2002

*If Panel Study:*

Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began: 5. Aug. 2002

Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended: 31.10.2002

Mode of (post-election) interview:

- In person, face-to-face
- Telephone
- x Mail or self-completion supplement

Language(s) used in questionnaire(s) (Please provide copies of questionnaires in all languages used, as well as a version translated in English, if applicable, as part of the Election Study Deposit): German

## **B. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures**

### 1. Eligibility Requirements

a) Age: Minimum\_\_18\_\_\_\_ Maximum\_\_no\_\_\_\_

b) Citizenship: Yes\_\_x\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_

c) Other requirements:

### 2. Sample Frame:

a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?

No\_\_x\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

If yes, please explain:

b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample?

No\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_x\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample?

No\_\_x\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

d) If interviews were conducted by telephone:

i. What is the estimated percentage of households without a phone: \_\_\_\_\_%

ii. Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled?

No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

iii. Were substitution methods used for unproductive sample points?

No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

e) Were other persons excluded from the sample frame?

No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

f) Estimated total (a + b + c + d + e) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: \_\_\_\_\_ %

3. Sample Selection Procedures:

a) What were the primary sampling units?

Households

b) Were the primary sampling units randomly selected?

No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_x\_\_

Please explain:

c) Were there further stages of selection?

No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

Please explain:

d) How were individual respondents identified?

Birthday rule

e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non- sample?

Please check all that apply:

- Non-residential sample point
- All members of household are ineligible
- Housing unit is vacant
- No answer at housing unit after \_\_\_\_\_ callbacks
- Other (Please explain):

f) Were non-sample replacement methods used?

No  Yes \_\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

g). For surveys conducted by telephone:

i. Was the sample a random digit dial (RDD) sample? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

ii. Was the sample a listed sample? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

iii. Was the sample a dual frame sample? No \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, what % list frame \_\_\_\_\_ and what % RDD \_\_\_\_\_

h) For surveys conducted by mail:

Was the sample a listed sample?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

4. Compliance:

Prior to the study:

a) Was a letter sent to respondent?

No  Yes

(If yes, please include a copy of the letter in the Deposit)

b) Was payment sent to respondent?

No  Yes

If yes, please describe:

c) Was a token gift sent to respondent?

No  Yes

If yes, please describe:

d) Were any other incentives used?

No  Yes

If yes, please describe:

5. During the Field Period:

- a) How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it **non-sample**?
  
- b) How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it **non-interview**?
  
- c) Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted?
  
- d) Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they re-contacted the household? No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

e) Refusal Conversion:

- i. Were efforts made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  
No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

- ii. Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part?  
No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

If so, please describe (in addition, please include a copy of the letter in the deposit):

- iii. Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part?  
No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

If yes, how much?



- iv. Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes\_\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_\_
  
- v. What was the maximum number of re-contacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed?
  
- vi. Were any other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part?  
No\_\_\_\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

6. Response Rate:

(Note: if a panel study, please report response rate of the first wave)

Total number of sample lines issued:	_____
Number of refusals:	_____
Number never contacted (no-contact):	_____
Other non-response:	_____
Number of lines of non-sample:	_____
Total number of completed interviews:	_____
Response Rate:	_____

7. Panel Attrition:

(Note: This only applies if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study):

Total number of respondents in Wave I of the study:	2000
Number of Wave I respondents re-interviewed in wave containing CSES Module:	1010
	49%
Percent total panel attrition:	_____

8. Panel attrition by age and education (given as percentages; please indicate whether numbers provided are % re-interviewed or % attrition):

**Age**

18-25 \_\_\_\_\_ %  
26-40 \_\_\_\_\_ %  
41-65 \_\_\_\_\_ %  
65 & over \_\_\_\_\_ %

**Education**

None \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Incomplete primary \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Primary completed \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Incomplete secondary \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Secondary completed \_\_\_\_\_ %  
University incomplete \_\_\_\_\_ %  
University degree \_\_\_\_\_ %

9. Sample Weights

a) Are weights included in the data file?

No\_\_x\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

Please describe how the weights were constructed:

b) Are the weights designed to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the respondent/household level?

No\_\_x\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

c) Are the weights designed to match known demographic characteristics of the population?

No\_\_x\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response?

No\_\_x\_\_ Yes\_\_\_\_\_

Please describe:

10. a) Please describe the interviewers (e.g., age, level of education, years of experience):

b) Please provide a description of interviewer training:

11. Comparison of Sample to Population

Characteristic	<u>Population Estimates</u>	<u>Sample Estimates</u>	
		Unweighted	Weighted
<u>Age</u>			
18-25			
26-40			
41-66			
65 and over			
<u>Education</u>			
None			
Incomplete Primary			
Primary Completed			
Incomplete Secondary			
Secondary Completed			
Post-Secondary Trade/ Vocational			
Incomplete University			
University Degree			
<u>Gender</u>			
Male			
Female			