

Prepared by: Cristobal Huneus

Date: 11-12-2006

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL SYSTEMS
Module 2: Sample Design and Data Collection Report
August 23, 2004

Country: Chile

Date of Election: 11-12-2005

Type of Election (e.g., presidential, parliamentary, legislative):

Organization that conducted the survey field work:

Investigators Responsible for Data Collection:

Name: Cristobal Huneus

Name:

Affiliation: MORI Chile

Affiliation:

Address: Orrego Luco 124, Providencia
Santiago Chile

Address:

Fax: 56-2-3346970

Fax:

Phone: 56-2-3344544

Phone:

E-mail:

E-mail:

chuneus@morchile.cl

A. Study Design

X Post-Election Study

Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study

Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: January 01, 2006

Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: January 08, 2006

<p><i>If Panel Study:</i></p> <p>Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began:</p> <p>Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:</p>
--

Mode of (post-election) interview:

X In person, face-to-face

Telephone

Mail or self-completion supplement

Language(s) used in questionnaire(s) (Please provide copies of questionnaires in all languages used, as well as a version translated in English, if applicable, as part of the Election Study Deposit):

Spanish.

B. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures

1. Eligibility Requirements

a) Age: Minimum 18 Maximum 80

b) Citizenship: Yes X No _____

c) Other requirements:

2. Sample Frame:

a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?

No _____ Yes X

If yes, please explain: Region XI and XII, a small fraction of the population lives there.

b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample?

No X Yes _____

Please explain:

c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample?

No X Yes _____

Please explain:

d) If interviews were conducted by telephone:

i. What is the estimated percentage of households without a phone: _____%

ii. Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled?

No_____ Yes_____

Please explain:

iii. Were substitution methods used for unproductive sample points?

No_____ Yes_____

Please explain:

e) Were other persons excluded from the sample frame?

No_____X_____

Please explain:

f) Estimated total (a + b + c + d + e) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: _____ %

3. Sample Selection Procedures:

a) What were the primary sampling units?

The primary sample unit was a 2000 habitant unit, called subdistrito.

b) Were the primary sampling units randomly selected?

No_____ Yes__X_____

Please explain:

c) Were there further stages of selection?

No_____ Yes__X_____

Please explain: The selection is done in three stages

1. Selection of the 2.000 habitant unit.
2. Selection of the house in the 2000 habitant unit is done randomly.
3. Once the house is selected the person is selected with the last birthday method.

d) How were individual respondents identified?

The technique of last birthday was used.

e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non- sample?

Please check all that apply:

- Non-residential sample point
- All members of household are ineligible
- Housing unit is vacant
- No answer at housing unit after _____ callbacks
- Other (Please explain):

f) Were non-sample replacement methods used?

No Yes _____

Please describe:

g). For surveys conducted by telephone:

i. Was the sample a random digit dial (RDD) sample? Yes _____ No _____

ii. Was the sample a listed sample? Yes _____ No _____

iii. Was the sample a dual frame sample? No _____ Yes _____

If yes, what % list frame _____ and what % RDD _____

h) For surveys conducted by mail:

Was the sample a listed sample?

Yes_____ No_____

Please describe:

4. Compliance:

Prior to the study:

a) Was a letter sent to respondent?

No__X__ Yes_____

(If yes, please include a copy of the letter in the Deposit)

b) Was payment sent to respondent?

No__X__ Yes_____

If yes, please describe:

c) Was a token gift sent to respondent?

No__X__ Yes_____

If yes, please describe:

d) Were any other incentives used?

No__X__ Yes_____

If yes, please describe:

5. During the Field Period:

- a) How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it **non-sample? None.**

- b) How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it **non-interview? 3**

- c) Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted? Maximum of 3 visit over a period of 3 days.

- d) Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they re-contacted the household? No_____ Yes __X__

Please describe: Usually the re-contact have to be done in a different time, one in the morning and the other in the afternoon and one in the weekend.

e) Refusal Conversion:

- i. Were efforts made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?
No_____ Yes __X__

Please describe:

- ii. Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part?
No __X__ Yes_____

If so, please describe (in addition, please include a copy of the letter in the deposit):

- iii. Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part?
No __X__ Yes_____

If yes, how much?

- iv. Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes_____ No__X__

- v. What was the maximum number of re-contacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed? 3

- vi. Were any other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part?
 No__X__ Yes_____

Please describe:

6. Response Rate:

(Note: if a panel study, please report response rate of the first wave)

Total number of sample lines issued: _____
 Number of refusals: _____
 Number never contacted (no-contact): _____
 Other non-response: _____
 Number of lines of non-sample: _____
 Total number of completed interviews: _____

 Response Rate: _____

7. Panel Attrition:

(Note: This only applies if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study):

Total number of respondents in Wave I of the study: _____
 Number of Wave I respondents re-interviewed in wave containing CSES Module: _____

 Percent total panel attrition: _____

8. Panel attrition by age and education (given as percentages; please indicate whether numbers provided are % re-interviewed or % attrition):

Age

18-25 _____ %
26-40 _____ %
41-65 _____ %
65 & over _____ %

Education

None _____ %
Incomplete primary _____ %
Primary completed _____ %
Incomplete secondary _____ %
Secondary completed _____ %
University incomplete _____ %
University degree _____ %

9. Sample Weights

a) Are weights included in the data file?

No _____ Yes _____

Please describe how the weights were constructed:

to match the distribution of sex and education in the population and the results of the first round of the presidential election.

b) Are the weights designed to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the respondent/household level?

No _____ Yes _____

Please describe:

c) Are the weights designed to match known demographic characteristics of the population?

No _____ Yes _____

Please describe: See above

d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response?

No _____ Yes _____

Please describe:

10. a) Please describe the interviewers (e.g., age, level of education, years of experience): All interviewers have more than 12 years of education, most of them have been with MORI for a couple for years.

- b) Please provide a description of interviewer training:

The interview consists in going over the questionnaire.

11. Comparison of Sample to Population

Characteristic	<u>Population Estimates</u>	<u>Sample Estimates</u>	
		Unweighted	Weighted
<u>Age</u>			
18-30	28		
30-40	23		
41-60	33		
60 and over	16		
<u>Education</u>			
None	2,8		
Incomplete Primary			
Primary Completed	40,9		
Incomplete Secondary			
Secondary Completed	39,2		
Post-Secondary Trade/ Vocational			
Incomplete University			
University Degree	17,1		
<u>Gender</u>			
Male	47,5		
Female			