COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL SYSTEMS
Module 2: Sample Design and Data Collection Report
August 23, 2004

Country: Chile
Date of Election: 11-12-2005

Type of Election (e.g., presidential, parliamentary, legislative):

Organization that conducted the survey field work:

Investigators Responsible for Data Collection:

Name: Cristobal Huneeus
Name:
Affiliation: MORI Chile
Affiliation:
Address: Orrego Luco 124, Providencia
Santiago Chile
Address:
Fax: 56-2-3346970
Fax:
Phone: 56-2-3344544
Phone:
E-mail: chuneeus@morichile.cl
E-mail:
A. Study Design

X Post-Election Study
☐ Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study

Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: January 01, 2006
Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: January 08, 2006

If Panel Study:
Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began: 
Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:

Mode of (post-election) interview:
X In person, face-to-face
☐ Telephone
☐ Mail or self-completion supplement

Language(s) used in questionnaire(s) (Please provide copies of questionnaires in all languages used, as well as a version translated in English, if applicable, as part of the Election Study Deposit):

Spanish.
B. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures

1. Eligibility Requirements
   a) Age: Minimum 18  Maximum 80

   b) Citizenship: Yes X  No

   c) Other requirements:

2. Sample Frame:

   a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?
      No  Yes X

      If yes, please explain: Region XI and XII, a small fraction of the population lives there.

   b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample?
      No X  Yes

      Please explain:

   c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample?
      No X  Yes

      Please explain:
d) If interviews were conducted by telephone:
   i. What is the estimated percentage of households without a phone: _______%

   ii. Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled?
       No_____ Yes_____
       Please explain:

   iii. Were substitution methods used for unproductive sample points?
       No_____ Yes_____
       Please explain:

e) Were other persons excluded from the sample frame?
   No_____ X_ Yes_____
   Please explain:

f) Estimated total (a + b + c + d + e) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: _______%

3. Sample Selection Procedures:
   a) What were the primary sampling units?
      The primary sample unit was a 2000 habitant unit, called subdistrito.

   b) Were the primary sampling units randomly selected?
      No_____ Yes__X__
      Please explain:

   c) Were there further stages of selection?
      No_____ Yes__X__
Please explain: The selection is done in three stages
1. Selection of the 2,000 habitant unit.
2. Selection of the house in the 2000 habitant unit is done randomly.
3. Once the house is selected the person is selected with the last birthday method.

d) How were individual respondents identified?

The technique of last birthday was used.

e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non-sample?

Please check all that apply:

☐ Non-residential sample point
☐ All members of household are ineligible
☐ Housing unit is vacant
☐ No answer at housing unit after _______ callbacks
☐ Other (Please explain):

f) Were non-sample replacement methods used?

No__ X___ Yes____

Please describe:

g). For surveys conducted by telephone:

i. Was the sample a random digit dial (RDD) sample? Yes_____ No____

ii. Was the sample a listed sample? Yes_____ No____

iii. Was the sample a dual frame sample? No_____ Yes____

If yes, what % list frame _______ and what % RDD __________
h) For surveys conducted by mail:

Was the sample a listed sample?
Yes_____  No_____

Please describe:

4. Compliance:

Prior to the study:

a) Was a letter sent to respondent?

No___X__  Yes_____
(If yes, please include a copy of the letter in the Deposit)

b) Was payment sent to respondent?

No___X__  Yes_____

If yes, please describe:

c) Was a token gift sent to respondent?

No___X__  Yes_____

If yes, please describe:

d) Were any other incentives used?

No___X__  Yes_____

If yes, please describe:
5. During the Field Period:

a) How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it non-sample? None.

b) How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it non-interview? 3

c) Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted? Maximum of 3 visit over a period of 3 days.

d) Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they re-contacted the household? No____ Yes ___X___

Please describe: Usually the re-contact have to be done in a different time, one in the morning and the other in the afternoon and one in the weekend.

e) Refusal Conversion:

i. Were efforts made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed? No____ Yes ___X___

Please describe:

ii. Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? No__X___ Yes_____

If so, please describe (in addition, please include a copy of the letter in the deposit):

iii. Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? No__X___ Yes_____

If yes, how much?
iv. Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes_____ No__X___

v. What was the maximum number of re-contacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed? 3

vi. Were any other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part?
No__X___ Yes_____  
Please describe:

6. Response Rate:  
(Note: if a panel study, please report response rate of the first wave)

Total number of sample lines issued: ________________________
   Number of refusals: ________________________
   Number never contacted (no-contact): ________________________
   Other non-response: ________________________
   Number of lines of non-sample: ________________________
   Total number of completed interviews: ________________________

Response Rate: ________________________

7. Panel Attrition:  
(Note: This only applies if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study):

Total number of respondents in Wave I of the study: ________________________
Number of Wave I respondents re-interviewed in wave containing CSES Module: ________________________

Percent total panel attrition: ________________________
8. Panel attrition by age and education (given as percentages; please indicate whether numbers provided are % re-interviewed or % attrition):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-40</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-65</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 &amp; over</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incomplete primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incomplete secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University degree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Sample Weights

a) Are weights included in the data file?
   No___ Yes_X____

   Please describe how the weights were constructed:
   to match the distribution of sex and education in the population and the results of the first round of the presidential election.

b) Are the weights designed to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the respondent/household level?
   No_X___ Yes____

   Please describe:

c) Are the weights designed to match known demographic characteristics of the population?
   No_____ Yes__X___

   Please describe: See above

d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response?
   No__X___ Yes____

   Please describe:
10. a) Please describe the interviewers (e.g., age, level of education, years of experience): All interviewers have more than 12 years of education, most of them have been with MORI for a couple of years.

b) Please provide a description of interviewer training:

The interview consists in going over the questionnaire.

11. Comparison of Sample to Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Population Estimates</th>
<th>Sample Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unweighted</td>
<td>Weighted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-60</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 and over</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete Primary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Completed</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete Secondary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Completed</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Secondary Trade/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Degree</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>