Comparative Study of Electoral Systems



Description of Sample and Data Collection

I. Country: URRAINE	
II. Type of Election (e.g. presidential; parliame	entary; legislative): parliamen tary
III. Date of Election: 29.03.	1998
IV. Organization that Conducted the Survey Fi	eld Work: SOCIS - Ltd.
V. Investigators Responsible for Data Collection	n
Name: Olga Ostapeivko Affiliation: SOGS LLd Address: POB - 117 Kiev - 1 Ukraine	Name: Olexande R Stegniy Affiliation: SOCIS Ltd Address: POB-117 Kiev-1 UKRAINE
Fax: (38044) - 228-22-97 Phone: (38044) - 229-32-80 E-mail: 005(2) socis freenet vierna	Fax: (38044) - 228-22-97 Phone: (38044) - 228-19-97 E-mail: 5-leg (2) 50015 freenet Wiev 114
Name:Affiliation:Address:	Name:Affiliation:Address:
Fax:Phone:E-mail:	Fax:Phone:E-mail:
VI. Study Design (check one) Yest-Election Study Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study	
VII. Dates of Interviewing Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended:	
(If Panel Study) Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began: Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:	

VIII. Mo	ode of interview (check one)
	n person, face-to-face
	elephone
	fail or self-completion supplement
	•
IX. Sam	ple Design and Sampling Procedures
1. El	igibility Requirements
a)	age: -75
b)	citizenship: Yes X No
c)	other:
ŕ	
2. Pe	rsons Excluded From the Sample Frame
	Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame? Yes No
	If yes, explain:
b)	Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample? Yes No X
c)	Were military personnel excluded from the sample? Yes No
d)	(If telephone interview) Estimated percentage of households without a phone: %
e)	(If telephone interview) Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the
	population sampled? Yes No
f)	Other persons excluded from the sample frame: Homelesses
	prisoners
g)	Estimated total (a+b+c+d+e+f) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: % — We haven't such kind statistics
	the sample frame: % - We haven't such kind statistics.
3.1 S	ampling Method (if Face-to-Face)
a)	Describe how the primary sampling units were selected: SURVEY - SAMPING PROCESSINGS
	SURVEY - SAMPLING PROCEDURES
	W
1.3	Were the primary sampling units randomly selected? Yes No
D)	Was there a second stage selection? Yes No
c)	Describe the method by which the second stage, sampling units were selected: $See SOCIS DMIBUS$
	Were the second of the second
.11.	Were the secondary sampling units randomly selected? Yes No X
u)	Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household?
	Tes_No X
	II no, describe: 14 was stay of the sampling proceeding
	Yes No X If no, describe: The last stage of the sampling procedure is selection of the respondents by your ta task

6)	apply) X All members of household are ineligible Housing unit is vacant No answer at housing unit Other, explain:
f)	Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No If yes describe:
	ampling Method (if telephone) Describe how the sample was drawn
b).	Was the sample a random digit dial sample? Yes No listed sample? Yes No dual frame? Yes No; (if dual frame) % list frame:; % random-digit dial:
c)	Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household? Yes No If no, describe:
d)	Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply) All members of household ineligibles Non-residential phone No answer (if so), after how many calls to number? Non-working number Other, explain:
e)	Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No If yes describe:
	ampling Method (if mail / self completion) Describe how the sample was drawn
	Was the sample a listed sample? Yes No Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household? Yes No If no, describe:

ď	Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply) All members of household ineligibles Housing unit is vacant				
	Other, explain:				
e)	Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No If yes describe:				
4. C	ompliance				
	Pre-Study Strategies: Prior to the study was a letter sent to respondent? Yes No X payment sent to respondent? Yes No X a token gift sent to respondent? Yes No X any other incentives used? Yes No X If yes, describe:				
	During the Field Period Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring it non-sample: Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring it non-interview: Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted: Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they recontacted the household? Yes No Refusal Conversion				
	Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed? Yes No \(\subseteq \) If "No" (go to Section X) Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed: Other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part:				
X. Respo	onse Rate (to first wave if a panel study)				
b) To	otal number of sample lines issued: otal number of completed interviews: umber of refusals:				
	imber never contacted (no-contact):				

¹ These include cases where there were language difficulties, a non-competent respondent, illness, or a respondent who was away from home for the entire field period.

² Non-sample includes: vacant houses, houses where no resident was eligible (e.g. non-citizens or underage residents).

	e) Describe the pro	e) Describe the procedure used to construct the sample weight:				
	XIII. Description of in	terviewers (age, lev	el of education, an	d years of ex	perience):	
	Age: 18-25	: 27; 26-40	: 36; 41+	vey-108 45; Leve	perience): ; Male - 24, Female - 8 l of education! y+uniren.desner - 69	
econful ry	ompleted - 20, Post	-secondary 13	, in complete	universit	y + univen desner - 69	
. /	Description of inter	viewer training: P	reparing of	INSTRUCT	101; conduct	
	SUPERVIS	ORS who o	We Respons	ible for	ion; conduct live) and on survey in	
	·	region	45 /	····	,	
	XIV. Comparison of S	ample to Population	sample Est	timates	2 x penien	
	Characteristic Popu	lation Estimates ³		Weighted	years of Ryperien >2 years - 62 2 years + - 4	
	Age				292243 - 91	
	18-25	14 %	%	%		
	18-25	28 %	% %	%		
	41-66 40-64 65 and over 65+	<u>42</u> % <u>16</u> %	% %	% %		
	Education					
	None		%	%		
	Incomplete primary ** Primary completed	% <u>15</u> %	%	% %		
	Incomplete secondary	<u>15</u> %	%	%		
	Secondary completed Post-secondary trade /	<u>32</u> %	%	%		
	vocational school	19 %	%	%		
	Incomplete university	2 %	%	%		
	University degree	<u>10</u> %	%	%		
	Gender	114 -				
	Male	7/%	%	%		
	Female	<u>50</u> %	%	%		
	XV. Languages used in	the interviews. Li	st:			
	_ UKRAINIA 					
	1000 23 10	. • [-			

From national statistical agency. Provide source. Ministry of Statistics CLMSUS of topulation. 1989.