## Comparative Study of Electoral Systems

## Description of Sample and Data Collection

I. Country: Tainan (The Repub	hic of China)
II. Type of Election (e.g. presidential; parliament	tary; legislative): Legislative, Presidential
III. Date of Election: Legislative: 03/12/19	
IV. Organization that Conducted the Survey Field System and Change at	d Work: The Workshop for Political National Taiwan University
V. Investigators Responsible for Data Collection	\
Name: Hational Taiwan University	Name: CHU, Yun-han Affiliation: National Taiwan University
Address: Pept of Political Science 1470	Address: Dept. of Political Science
Taipei, Taiwan 10200 Fax: 886-2-2341-2806	Taipei, Taiwan, 10020  Fax: 886-2-2341-2806  Phone: 2-2351-7217
Phone: 2351-7217 E-mail: hufu@cc.ntu.edu.tw	Phone: 2-2351-7217 E-mail: Yunhan@coms.ntu.edu.tw
Name:Affiliation:Address:	Name:Affiliation:Address:
Fax: Phone: E-mail:	Fax: Phone: E-mail:
VI. Study Design (check one)  Post-Election Study Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study	
VII. Dates of Interviewing  Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: J.  Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended:	ne 1996 ept, 1996
(If Panel Study)  Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began:  Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

<u> </u>	ode of interview (check one) n person, face-to-face elephone fail or self-completion supplement
IX. Sam	ole Design and Sampling Procedures
a) b)	igibility Requirements  age: 20 citizenship: Yes No other:
2. Pe a)	rsons Excluded From the Sample Frame  Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame? Yes V No  If yes, explain: Kingmen and Matsu (two off share islands)
c) d) e)	Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample? Yes V No Were military personnel excluded from the sample? Yes No V (If telephone interview) Estimated percentage of households without a phone: % (If telephone interview) Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled? Yes No Cother persons excluded from the sample frame:
g)	Estimated total $(a+b+c+d+e+f)$ percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: $2\%$
3.1 Sa a)	Describe how the primary sampling units were selected:  ( please refer to the actached User Manual)
b) c)	Were the primary sampling units randomly selected? Yes \( \sum \) No  Was there a second stage selection? Yes \( \sum \) No  Describe the method by which the second stage sampling units were selected:  \( \begin{align*}     please refer to the User Manual \)
d)	Were the secondary sampling units randomly selected? Yes No Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household?  Yes No If no

e)	Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non-sample? (Check all that				
	apply)  All members of household are ineligible				
	Housing unit is vacant				
	No answer at housing unit				
	Other, explain:				
	Other, explain.				
f)	Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No  If yes describe:				
	If yes describe: (See User Manual)				
22 8	and in a Mathad (if talanham)				
	ampling Method (if telephone)  Describe how the sample was drawn				
aj	Describe now the sample was drawn				
b).	Was the sample a				
- / -	random digit dial sample? Yes No				
	listed sample? Yes No				
	dual frame? Yes No;				
	(if dual frame) % list frame:; % random-digit dial:				
c)	Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household?				
:	Yes No				
	If no, describe:				
d)	Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply)				
۵,	All members of household ineligibles				
	Non-residential phone				
	No answer (if so), after how many calls to number?				
	Non-working number				
	Other, explain:				
	Other, explain				
e)	Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No				
	If yes describe:				
3 3 S	ampling Method (if mail / self completion)				
	Describe how the sample was drawn				
a)	Describe now the sample was drawn				
, ,	Was the sample a listed sample? Yes No				
(c)	Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household?				
	Yes No				
1	If no, describe:				

е	;)	Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No				
		If yes describe: (see User Manual)				
. C	Co.	mpliance				
		Pre-Study Strategies: Prior to the study was				
		a letter sent to respondent? Yes V No				
		payment sent to respondent? Yes No				
		a token gift sent to respondent? Yes / No				
		a token gift sent to respondent? Yes $\sqrt{}$ No $\sqrt{}$ any other incentives used? Yes No $\sqrt{}$				
		If yes, describe:				
h		During the Field Period				
U	')	During the Field Period intended respondent  Maximum number of contests with the household before deal.				
• •		Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring it non-sample:				
		Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring it non-interview: 3				
		Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted:				
		Did interminant and the time of the state of				
		Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they recontacted the household?				
o.'		Yes No				
c)	)	Yes No Refusal Conversion				
c)	)	Yes No Refusal Conversion Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?				
c)	)	Yes No  Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)				
c)	•)	Yes No  Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to				
c)	)	Yes No  Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No				
C	)	Yes No  Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No  Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No				
C,	)	Yes No  Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No  Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No  Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced				
C.	)	Yes No Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer?				
C	)	Yes No  Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No  Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No  Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No  Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed: 2				
c	)	Yes No Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer?				
	•	Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No  Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No  Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No  Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed: Other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part:				
	•	Yes No  Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No  Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No  Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No  Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed: 2				
Resp	001	Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No  Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No  Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No  Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed:  Other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part:				
Resp	001	Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No  Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No  Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No  Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed:  Other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part:  muse Rate (to first wave if a panel study)				
Resp	001	Refusal Conversion  Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?  Yes V No If "No" (go to Section X)  Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No  Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No  Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No  Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed:  Other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part:				

X.

e)	Other non-response:
	Number of lines of non-sample: <sup>2</sup>
g)	Response Rate: (b/(a-f))*100:
XI. P	anel Attrition (Complete only if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study)
b) c)	Total number of respondents in wave I of the study:  Number of wave I respondents re-interviewed in wave containing CSES Module:  Percent panel attrition ((a-b)/a)*100:  Panel attrition by age:
e)	Age
·	Education % Reinterviewed  None
XII.	Sample Weight
a)	Are the data weighted? Yes No $\checkmark$ If yes:
	Are the data weighted to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? Yes No
	Are the data weighted to match "known" demographic characteristics of the population?  Yes No
d)	Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? Yes No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These include cases where there were language difficulties, a non-competent respondent, illness, or a respondent who was away from home for the entire field period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Non-sample includes: vacant houses, houses where no resident was eligible (e.g. non-citizens or underage residents).

XIII. Description of inte	, -	•		
Interviewers.	· College Stud	ents (from s	locial science s	lepartments)
Sapervisors:	experienceal	interviewors		
Description of intervi	ewer training:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	esim for inte	
<del></del>	one full-a	ay training se	sim for inte	rviewers
	and on-si	te practice		
VIV Commonison of Co.	mala ta Danulatian	1		
XIV. Comparison of Sai	inple to Population	Sample E	ctimates	
Characteristic Popula	tion Estimates <sup>3</sup>	Unweighted		
Characteristic Topula	tion Estimates	Ollweighted	Treighted	
Age Age				
2.1	24.5 %	25.7%	%	
18-25 26-40 31-40 41-66 41-50 65 and over 51-60 61 and over	25,4 %	26.7%	%	
41-66 41-50	22.1%	19.5 %	<del></del> %	
65 and over $51 - 60$	12,2%	11.6 %	<del></del> %	
61 andover	15.70)	16.4 %		
Education (NA)				
None	%	9.2 %	%	
Incomplete primary	% % %	7.2 %	<del></del> %	
Primary completed	<del></del> %	25,9%	<del></del> %	
Incomplete secondary		17.6 %	%	a the second
Secondary completed	%	30.3%	%	
Post-secondary trade /			'	•
vocational school	%	8.5 (%	%	
Incomplete university	%	%	%	
University degree	%	7.4 %	%	
	,	÷		
Gender	41.2 m	612 m	ov.	
Male	<u>51.3</u> %	. 51.3 %	%	
Female	<u>48.7</u> %.	<u>48.7</u> %	%	
XV. Languages used in	the interviews I	iet·		
Chinese Man		Chinese	1-19KKq	
	nan (Tainanese		•	<del></del>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> From national statistical agency. Provide source.