III. Date of Election:
First Round November 3, 1996
Second Round November 17, 1996 (Only for Presidential)

IV. Organization that Conducted the Survey Field Work:
Laboratory of Empirical Political Analysis
Faculty of Political Science and Public Administration

V. Investigators Responsible for Data Collection:
Name: Catalin Baba
Affiliation: Faculty of Political Science and Public Administration,
Babes-Bolyai University
Address: str.Universitatii, nr.7-9, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
Fax: 40-64-192861
Phone: 40-64-192861
E-mail: baba@polito.ubbcluj.ro

Name: Gabriel Badescu
Affiliation: Laboratory of Empirical Political Analysis
Faculty of Political Science and Public Administration,
Babes-Bolyai University
Address: str.Universitatii, nr.7-9, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
Fax: 40-64-192861
Phone: 40-64-192861
E-mail: badescu@hiphi.ubbcluj.ro

Name: Paul Sum
Affiliation: Babes-Bolyai University (IREX/ACLS visiting scholar
grant under the auspices of the Social Science Curriculum Development
Program funded by USIS).
Address: str.Universitatii, nr.7-9, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
Fax: 40-64-192861
Phone: 40-64-192861
Email: NDI Rydz@aol.com

VI. Study Design:
Post-Election Study

VII. Dates of Interviewing:
Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: November 26, 1996
Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: December 3, 1996

VIII. Mode of interview:
In person, face-to-face

IX. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures

1. Eligibility Requirements
   age: 18 and older
   citizenship: Yes
   other: none

2. Persons Excluded From the Sample Frame:
a. Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?
No
b. Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample?
Yes
c. Were military personnel excluded from the sample?
Yes, only those who are living in military barracks
f. Other persons excluded from the sample frame:
   persons in hospitals, students in hostels, persons in prisons

g. Estimated total percentage of the eligible population excluded from
the sample frame:
1.5 - 3%

3.1. Sampling Method
a. Describe how the primary sampling units were selected:
The localities were randomly selected from each strata of the population
(defined by the region and the size of the locality).
b. Was there a second stage selection?
Yes
c. Describe how the secondary sampling units were selected:
We used electoral lists that are available for each locality and contain
the entire adult population. The lists had been updated for the November
1996 elections. Each list has about 2500 inhabitants therefore a locality
has a number of lists given by the ratio between the number of adult
population and 2500. We randomly selected 2 lists from each locality that
has more than two lists.
d. Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household?
No.
We randomly selected the respondents from the electoral lists therefore we
did not need a procedure to select persons within the households.
e. Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non-sample?
Since the persons are selected from a list a sample line is designated
non-sample when the respondent can not be approached after three attempts.
f. Were non-sample replacement methods used?
Yes
We used replacement lists having the units randomly selected.

4. Compliance
a. Pre-study Strategies: Prior to the study was
   a letter sent to the respondent? No
   payment sent to the respondent? No
   a token gift sent to the respondent? No
   any other incentives used? Yes

The interviewer provided each respondent with a persuasion letter signed by
the dean of the faculty.
b. During the Field Period
   Maximum / minimum number of contacts with the household before declaring it
   non-sample:
   4 / 3.
   Maximum / minimum number of contacts with the household before declaring it
   non-interview:
   4 / 3.
   Maximum / minimum number of days over which a household was contacted:
   Any number within the field period / 2.
   Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they recontacted the household?
Yes.
c. Refusal Conversion
Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?
No

X. Response Rate:
a. Total number of sample lines issued: 1175
b. Total number of completed interviews: 1179
c. Number of refusals: 122
d. Number never contacted (no-contact): 125
e. Other non-response: 74
f. Number of lines of non-sample: 0
g. Response Rate:

XII. Sample weight
Are the data weighted?
No

XIII. Description of interviewers:
Age: 22 - 30 years
Level of education: secondary education completed for all, university degree for 20%.
Experience: at least one year
Description of interviewer training:
each interviewer had participated before at least 3 surveys and received specific instructions from one of four area coordinators (30-60 minutes).

XIV. Comparison of Sample to Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Population Estimates</th>
<th>Sample estimates (unweighted)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>17.88%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-40</td>
<td>28.24%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-65</td>
<td>39.13%</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66+</td>
<td>14.73%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Education (for 18 and older)

None and
incomplete primary 4.85% 18.3%
Primary completed 21.49% 15.3%
Incomplete secondary 44.38% 24.7%
Secondary completed 20.70% 25.7%
Post-secondary trade/
Vocational school 2.38% 6.9%
University degree 6.18% 8.7%


Gender (for 18 and older)
Male 48.24% 49.1%
Female 51.75% 50.8%


XV. Languages used in the interviews.

Romanian