

Comparative Study of Electoral Systems

7

Description of Sample and Data Collection

I. Country: Netherlands

II. Type of Election (e.g. presidential; parliamentary; legislative): Parliamentary

III. Date of Election: 6 May, 1990

IV. Organization that Conducted the Survey Field Work: Instituut voor Toegepaste Sociale Wetenschappen, Nijmegen

V. Investigators Responsible for Data Collection

Name: Kees Aarts
Affiliation: University of Twente
Address: P.O. Box 217
7500 AE Enschede
The Netherlands

Name: _____
Affiliation: _____
Address: _____

Fax: +31 53 409 4734
Phone: +31 53 409 3251
E-mail: c.w.a.m.aarts@bsk.utwente.nl

Fax: _____
Phone: _____
E-mail: _____

Name: Henk van der Kolk
Affiliation: University of Twente
Address: _____

Name: _____
Affiliation: _____
Address: _____

Fax: _____
Phone: +31 53 409 3201
E-mail: h.vanderkolk@bsk.utwente.nl

Fax: _____
Phone: _____
E-mail: _____

VI. Study Design (check one)

- Post-Election Study
- Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study

VII. Dates of Interviewing

Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: 11 May 1990
Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: 4 July 1990

(If Panel Study)

Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began: 31 March 1990
Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended: 5 May 1990

VIII. Mode of interview (check one)

- In person, face-to-face
- Telephone
- Mail or self-completion supplement

main mode. Other modes also used for specific samples / parts of questionnaire

IX. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures

1. Eligibility Requirements

- a) age: 18 at election day
- b) citizenship: Yes No
- c) other: _____

2. Persons Excluded From the Sample Frame

- a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame? Yes No
If yes, explain: _____

- b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample? Yes No

- c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample? Yes No

- d) (If telephone interview) Estimated percentage of households without a phone: _____%

- e) (If telephone interview) Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled? Yes No

- f) Other persons excluded from the sample frame: ① Enfranchised person living abroad ② Disenfranchised citizens

- g) Estimated total (a+b+c+d+e+f) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: < 1 %

3.1 Sampling Method (if Face-to-Face)

- a) Describe how the primary sampling units were selected: implicit stratification: municipalities according to 4 regions and 5 degrees of urbanization

Were the primary sampling units randomly selected? Yes No

- b) Was there a second stage selection? Yes No

- c) Describe the method by which the second stage sampling units were selected:

SRS from the electoral registers of the selected municipalities. see documentation for details/exceptions

Were the secondary sampling units randomly selected? Yes No

- d) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household?

Yes No

If no, describe: Persons sample.

e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non-sample? (Check all that apply)

- All members of household are ineligible
- Housing unit is vacant
- No answer at housing unit NA
- Other, explain: _____

f) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No

If yes describe: In selection of municipalities: if not willing to cooperate ~~by~~ (i.e. use of electoral register), replacement municipality was used.

3.2 Sampling Method (if telephone)

NA

a) Describe how the sample was drawn _____

b). Was the sample a

random digit dial sample? Yes ___ No ___

listed sample? Yes ___ No ___

dual frame? Yes ___ No ___;

(if dual frame) % list frame: ___; % random-digit dial: ___

c) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household?

Yes ___ No ___

If no, describe: _____

d) Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply)

All members of household ineligible

Non-residential phone

No answer (if so), after how many calls to number? ___

Non-working number

Other, explain: _____

e) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes ___ No ___

If yes describe: _____

3.3 Sampling Method (if mail / self completion)

a) Describe how the sample was drawn _____

b) Was the sample a listed sample? Yes ___ No ___

c) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household?

Yes ___ No ___

If no, describe: _____

- d) Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply)
- All members of household ineligible
- Housing unit is vacant
- Other, explain: _____
- _____
- _____
- e) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No
- If yes describe: _____
- _____
- _____

4. Compliance

- a) Pre-Study Strategies: Prior to the study was
- a letter sent to respondent? Yes No
- payment sent to respondent? Yes No
- a token gift sent to respondent? Yes No
- any other incentives used? Yes No
- If yes, describe: 1/5 lottery ticket for next draw of State lottery.
- _____
- b) During the Field Period *person!*
- Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring it non-sample: no max.
- Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring it non-interview: set,
- Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted:
- Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they recontacted the household?
- Yes No
- c) Refusal Conversion
- Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed?
- Yes No If "No" (go to Section X)
- Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No
- Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No
- Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No
- Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed: no max.
- Other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part:
- telephone approach by experienced telephone interviewer.

X. Response Rate (to first wave if a panel study)

- a) Total number of sample lines issued: 4,207
- b) Total number of completed interviews: 2,101
- c) Number of refusals: 1,533
- d) Number never contacted (no-contact): 395

- e) Other non-response:¹ 1.70
 f) Number of lines of non-sample:² -
 g) Response Rate: $(b/(a-f))*100$: 49.9 %

XI. Panel Attrition (Complete only if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study)

- a) Total number of respondents in wave I of the study: 2,101
 b) Number of wave I respondents re-interviewed in wave containing CSES Module: 1,014
 c) Percent panel attrition $((a-b)/a)*100$: 13.6 %
 d) Panel attrition by age:

Age	% Reinterviewed
18-25	<u>86.7 %</u>
26-40	<u>87.9 %</u>
41-65	<u>87.1 %</u>
65 & over	<u>85.8 %</u>

- e) Panel attrition by education:

Education	% Reinterviewed
None	<u>-</u> %
Incomplete primary	<u>-</u> %
Primary completed	<u>80.3</u> %
Incomplete secondary	<u>-</u> % <i>83.1</i>
Secondary completed	<u>80.2</u> %
University incomplete	<u>91.8</u> %
University degree	<u>92.4</u> %

Post-secondary trade/vocational: 80.3 %

XII. Sample Weight

- a) Are the data weighted? Yes X No - *Weights available in data file*
 If yes:
 b) Are the data weighted to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? Yes - No X
 c) Are the data weighted to match "known" demographic characteristics of the population? Yes X No -
 d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? Yes X No -

¹ These include cases where there were language difficulties, a non-competent respondent, illness, or a respondent who was away from home for the entire field period.

² Non-sample includes: vacant houses, houses where no resident was eligible (e.g. non-citizens or underage residents).

e) Describe the procedure used to construct the sample weight:

Refer to documentation of P1415 study
(on CD Rom or Internet)

XIII. Description of interviewers (age, level of education, and years of experience):

Refer to documentation. Also in data file

Description of interviewer training: Extensive.

XIV. Comparison of Sample to Population

Characteristic	Population Estimates ³	Sample Estimates	
		Unweighted	Weighted
<u>Age</u>			
18-25	<u>not available in published materials</u> _____ %	<u>13.1</u> %	<u>12.4</u> %
26-40	_____ %	<u>32.3</u> %	<u>32.9</u> %
41-66	_____ %	<u>40.0</u> %	<u>37.7</u> %
65 and over	_____ %	<u>13.0</u> %	<u>17.1</u> %
<u>Education</u>			
None	_____ %	<u>-</u> %	<u>-</u> %
Incomplete primary	_____ %	<u>.1</u> %	<u>.0</u> %
Primary completed	_____ %	<u>10.1</u> %	<u>11.4</u> %
Incomplete secondary	_____ %	<u>30.2</u> %	<u>31.7</u> %
Secondary completed	_____ %	<u>4.4</u> %	<u>4.4</u> %
Post-secondary trade / vocational school	_____ %	<u>42.9</u> %	<u>41.3</u> %
Incomplete university	_____ %	<u>4.0</u> %	<u>3.5</u> %
University degree	_____ %	<u>8.1</u> %	<u>7.6</u> %
<u>Gender</u>			
Male	<u>49.4</u> %	<u>48.6</u> %	<u>48.8</u> %
Female	<u>50.6</u> %	<u>51.4</u> %	<u>51.2</u> %

XV. Languages used in the interviews. List:

Dutch

³ From national statistical agency. Provide source.