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A. Study Design

- Post-Election Study
- Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study

Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: July 15th, 2000.
Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: July 20th, 2000.

If Panel Study:
Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began: …………………………………
Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended: …………………………………

Mode of (post-election) interview:
- In person, face-to-face
- Telephone
- Mail or self-completion supplement

B. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures

1. Eligibility Requirements
   a) Age: Minimum……18…… Maximum……90……
   b) Citizenship: Yes ☐ No ✗
   c) Other requirements:

2. Sample Frame:
   a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?
      No ✗ Yes ☐: ………………………………………………………………………
      ………………………………………………………………………
      ………………………………………………………………………
   b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample?
      No ☐ Yes ✗: ………………………………………………………………………
      ………………………………………………………………………
      ………………………………………………………………………
   c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample?
      No ✗ Yes ☐: ………………………………………………………………………
      ………………………………………………………………………
      ………………………………………………………………………
   d) If interviews were conducted by telephone:
      What is the estimated percentage of households without a phone: ___%
      Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled?
      Yes ☐ No ☐
      Were substitution methods used for unproductive sample points? No ✗ Yes ☐:
      There were not unproductive sample points.
      ………………………………………………………………………
      ………………………………………………………………………
      ………………………………………………………………………
   e) Were other persons excluded from the sample frame? No ✗ Yes ☐:
      ………………………………………………………………………
      ………………………………………………………………………
      ………………………………………………………………………
   f) Estimated total (a + b + c + d + e) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: _D.K_, but negligible
2. Sample Selection Procedures:

a) What were the primary sampling units? Were the primary sampling units randomly selected? No ☐ Yes ✗ (Please Describe):

The primary sampling units were electoral precincts clusters. The clusters were defined as groups of all of the precincts with similar electoral results and belonging to the same county (municipality)……………………………………

b) Were there further stages of selection? No ☐ Yes ✗ (Please Describe):

The second stage were the precincts within the clusters, the third were households within the precinct and the last stage was the respondent in the selected household.

c) How were individual respondents identified?

Respondents in the household were not selected randomly. Interviewers followed quotas of gender and age, taken from census data from 1995.

e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non-sample? (Check all that apply)

☒ Non-residential sample point
☒ All members of household are ineligible
☒ Housing unit is vacant
☒ No answer at housing unit after …3…… callbacks
☐ Other, explain: ……………………………………………………………………………
…………………………………………………………………………
…………………………………………………………………………

f) Were non-sample replacement methods used? No ☐ Yes ✗ (Please Describe):

Following the same systematic selection used for the sample line.

For surveys conducted by telephone:
Was the sample a random digit dial sample? Yes ☐ No ☐
Was the sample a listed sample? Yes ☐ No ☐
Was the sample a dual frame? No ☐ Yes ☐ with …% list frame and …% RDD
For surveys conducted by mail:
Was the sample a listed sample? Yes ☐ No ☐ (Please Describe):……………………
…………………………………………………………………………
…………………………………………………………………………

4. Compliance

a) Prior to the study was:
a letter sent to respondent? No ✗ Yes ☐ (Please Include with Deposit payment sent to respondent? No ✗ Yes ☐, in the amount of:…………….
a token gift sent to respondent? No ✗ Yes ☐ (Please Describe): ………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
any other incentives used? No ✗ Yes ☐ (Please Describe): …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
b) During the Field Period
How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it nonsample? Three
How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it noninterview? Three
Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted: …………………
Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they re-contacted the household?
No ☐ Yes ✗ (Please Describe): Depending on each region…………………………
c) Refusal Conversion
Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed? No ☐ Yes ✗ (Please Describe): Explaining the importance of the study…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? No ☐ Yes ✗ (Please Describe/ Include with Deposit):
Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? No ✗ Yes ☐ , in the amount of: ……………………………………………
Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes ☐ No ✗
What was the maximum number of re-contacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed? One………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
Were any other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part? No ✗ Yes ☐ (Please Describe):
5. Response Rate (to first wave if a panel study)
Total number of sample lines issued: 2615
Number of refusals: 849
Number never contacted (no-contact): 34
Other non-response:
Number of lines of non-sample: N. A.
Total number of completed interviews: 1766
Response Rate: 68%

Panel Attrition (NOTE: Complete only if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study):
Total number of respondents in Wave I of the study:
Number of Wave I respondents reinterviewed in wave containing CSES Module:
Percent total panel attrition:
Panel attrition by age and education: (% re-interviewed):
18-25 ……..% None …….. %
26-40 ……..% Incomplete primary …….. %
41-65 ……..% Primary completed ……..%
65 & over ……..% Incomplete secondary ……..%
Secondary completed ……%
University incomplete ……..%
University degree ……%

6. Sample Weights

a) Are weights included in the data-file? No ☐ Yes ✗ (Please Describe their Construction):

Sampling units were selected with unequal probabilities. Weights are estimated and used to correct for this unequal probabilities. Poststratification weights were estimated to correct for non-response and to match known demographic characteristics of the population (gender and age)…………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………

b) Are the weights designed to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? No ✗ Yes ☐ (Please Describe):

Unnecessary because all estimates in the sample design are made for the total population not the total number of households………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………

c) Are the weights designed to match known demographic characteristics of the population? No ☐ Yes ✗ (Please Describe): …………………

Gender and age based on census data from 1995.

d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? No ☐ Yes ✗ (Please Describe): This correction is made at the precinct level
7. a) Please describe the interviewers (age, level of education, and years of experience):

62 interviewers
Male 36 Female 26
Ages 18 to 35
Minimum secondary school
At least two years experience as interviewers

b) Description of interviewer training:

Interviewers are trained in a four to five hours session with the main responsible of the questionnaire design based in a previously prepared manual with all questions and codes. Each question is discussed and some interviews are simulated. Several persuasion approaches are proposed based in a detailed description of the study and its importance.

XIV. Comparison of Sample to Population

Sample Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Population Estimates</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-40</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-66</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and over</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete Primary</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Completed</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete Secondary</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Completed</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Secondary Trade/Vocational</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete University</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Degree</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>