

Comparative Study of Electoral Systems

Description of Sample and Data Collection

I. Country: SPAIN

II. Type of Election (e.g. presidential; parliamentary; legislative): PARLAMENTARY & LEGISLATIVE

III. Date of Election: 3 MARCH 1996

IV. Organization that Conducted the Survey Field Work: ASEP

V. Investigators Responsible for Data Collection

Name: Juan DIEZ-NICOLAS
Affiliation: PRESIDENT
Address: CASTELLANA 173, 5º Izqda.
Fax: (3491) 579 4073
Phone: (3491) 570 5107
E-mail: 100613,2721@compuserve.com

Name:
Affiliation:
Address:
Fax:
Phone:
E-mail:

Name:
Affiliation:
Address:
Fax:
Phone:
E-mail:

Name:
Affiliation:
Address:
Fax:
Phone:
E-mail:

VI. Study Design (check one)

- Post-Election Study
 Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study

VII. Dates of Interviewing

Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: 11 MARCH 1996
Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: 16 MARCH 1996

(If Panel Study)

Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began:
Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:

VIII. Mode of interview (check one)

- In person, face-to-face
 Telephone
 Mail or self-completion supplement

IX. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures

1. Eligibility Requirements

- a) age: 18 AND OVER
- b) citizenship: Yes No
- c) other:

2. Persons Excluded From the Sample Frame

- a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?
Yes No If yes, explain:
- b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample?
Yes No
- c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample?
Yes No
- d) (If telephone interview) Estimated percentage of households without a phone: ___%
- e) (If telephone interview) Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled? Yes No
- f) Other persons excluded from the sample frame:
- g) Estimated total (a+b+c+d+e+f) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: LESS THAN 1%

3.1 Sampling Method (if Face-to-Face)

- a) Describe how the primary sampling units were selected:

Were the primary sampling units randomly selected?

Yes No

- b) Was there a second stage selection? Yes No
- c) Describe the method by which the second stage sampling units were selected: RANDOM ROUTES

Were the secondary sampling units randomly selected?

Yes No

- d) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household? Yes No If no, describe:
- e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non-sample? (Check all that apply)

All members of household are ineligible

Housing unit is vacant

No answer at housing unit (AFTER THREE ATTEMPTS)

Other, explain:

- f) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No

If yes describe: ANOTHER HOUSING UNIT WITHIN THE SAME BUILDING (OR STREE, OR ROUTE)

WAS SELECTED RANDOMLY

3.2 Sampling Method (if telephone)

- a) Describe how the sample was drawn

- b) Was the sample
 a random digit dial sample? Yes ___ No ___
 listed sample? Yes ___ No ___
 dual frame? Yes ___ No ___;
 (if dual frame) % list frame: ___; % random-digit dial: ___
- c) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household? Yes ___ No ___ If no, describe:
- d) Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply)
 ___ All members of household ineligible
 ___ Non-residential phone
 ___ No answer (if so), after how many calls to number? ___
 ___ Non-working number
 ___ Other, explain:
- e) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes ___ No ___
 If yes describe:

3.3 Sampling Method (if mail / self completion)

- a) Describe how the sample was drawn
- b) Was the sample a listed sample? Yes ___ No ___
- c) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household? Yes ___ No ___ If no, describe:
- d) Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply)
 ___ All members of household ineligible
 ___ Housing unit is vacant
 ___ Other, explain:
- e) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes ___ No ___
 If yes describe:

4. Compliance

- a) Pre-Study Strategies: Prior to the study was
 a letter sent to respondent? Yes ___ No X
 payment sent to respondent? Yes ___ No X
 a token gift sent to respondent? Yes ___ No X
 any other incentives used? Yes ___ No X
 If yes, describe:
- b) During the Field Period
 Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring it non-sample: 3
 Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring it non-interview: 3
 Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted: 2
 Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they recontacted the household? Yes X No ___

c) Refusal Conversion

Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed? Yes X No ___ If No (go to Section X)

Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes ___ No X

Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes ___ No X

Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes X No ___

Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed: 2

Other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part:

X. Response Rate (to first wave if a panel study)

- a) Total number of sample lines issued: 1,300
- b) Total number of completed interviews: 1,212
- c) Number of refusals: 67
- d) Number never contacted (no-contact): 21
- e) Other non-response: --
- f) Number of lines of non-sample: 21
- g) Response Rate: $(b/(a-f))*100$: 95%

XI. Panel Attrition (Complete only if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study)

- a) Total number of respondents in wave I of the study: _____
- b) Number of wave I respondents re-interviewed in wave containing CSES Module: _____
- c) Percent panel attrition $((a-b)/a)*100$: _____
- d) Panel attrition by age: _____

Age % Reinterviewed

18-25 _____ %
26-40 _____ %
41-65 _____ %
65 & over _____ %

- e) Panel attrition by education:

Education	% Reinterviewed
None	_____ %
Incomplete primary	_____ %
Primary completed	_____ %
Incomplete secondary	_____ %
Secondary completed	_____ %
University incomplete	_____ %
University degree	_____ %

XII. Sample Weight

- a) Are the data weighted? Yes No If yes: AS AN OPTIONAL VARIABLE
- b) Are the data weighted to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? Yes No
- c) Are the data weighted to match known demographic characteristics of the population? Yes (SEX AND AGE) No
- d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? Yes No

XIII. Description of interviewers (age, level of education, and years of experience): IT IS A

NATIONAL NETWORK OF PROFESSIONAL INTERVIEWERS WHICH ARE USED MONTHLY BY ASEP SINCE 1987.

Description of interviewer training: THEY HAVE THE EXPERIENCE.

XIV. Comparison of Sample to Population

Characteristic	Population Estimates (AS % OF POPULATION 18+)		Sample Estimates	
			Unweighted	Weighted
Age				
18-25	17.8%	18.6%	18.5%	
26-40	28.3%	28.5%	28.4%	
41-66	35.6%	34.9%	34.8%	
65 and over	18.3%	18.1%	18.3%	
Education				
None	3.2%	3.0%	3.0%	
Incomplete primary	21.6%	22.2%	22.3%	
Primary completed	34.0%	31.2%	31.2%	
Incomplete secondary	19.1%	11.6%	11.6%	
Secondary completed	15.0%	22.1%	22.1%	
Post-secondary trade / vocational school	----%	----%	----%	
Incomplete university	3.2%	4.1%	4.1%	
University degree	3.8%	5.2%	5.2%	
Gender				
Male	49.0%	48.1%	48.1%	
Female	51.0%	51.9%	51.8%	

XV. Languages used in the interviews. List:

ALL IN SPANISH