Comparative Study of Electoral Systems

Description of Sample and Data Collection

I. Country: SPAIN

II. Type of Election (e.g. presidential; parliamentary; legislative): PARLAMENTARY & LEGISLATIVE

III. Date of Election: 3 MARCH 1996

IV. Organization that Conducted the Survey Field Work: ASEP

V. Investigators Responsible for Data Collection

Name: Juan DIEZ-NICOLAS
Affiliation: PRESIDENT
Address: CASTELLANA 173, 5º Izqda.
Fax: (3491) 579 4073
Phone: (3491) 570 5107
E-mail: 100613,2721@compuserve.com

Name: 
Affiliation: 
Address: 
Fax: 
Phone: 
E-mail: 

VI. Study Design (check one)
   x Post-Election Study
   ___ Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study

VII. Dates of Interviewing
   Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: 11 MARCH 1996
   Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: 16 MARCH 1996
   (If Panel Study)
   Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began:
   Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:

VIII. Mode of interview (check one)
   x In person, face-to-face
   ___ Telephone
   ___ Mail or self-completion supplement

IX. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures
1. Eligibility Requirements
   a) age: 18 AND OVER
   b) citizenship: Yes X No ___
   c) other:

2. Persons Excluded From the Sample Frame
   a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?
      Yes ___ No X ___ If yes, explain:
   b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample?
      Yes X ___ No ___
   c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample?
      Yes ___ No X ___
   d) (If telephone interview) Estimated percentage of households without a phone: ___%
   e) (If telephone interview) Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled? Yes ___ No ___
   f) Other persons excluded from the sample frame:
   g) Estimated total (a+b+c+d+e+f) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: LESS THAN 1%

3.1 Sampling Method (if Face-to-Face)
   a) Describe how the primary sampling units were selected:
      Were the primary sampling units randomly selected?
      Yes X ___ No ___
   b) Was there a second stage selection? Yes X ___ No ___
   c) Describe the method by which the second stage sampling units were selected: RANDOM ROUTES
      Were the secondary sampling units randomly selected?
      Yes X ___ No ___
   d) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household? Yes X ___ No ___ If no, describe:
   e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non-sample? (Check all that apply)
      ___ All members of household are ineligible
      ___ Housing unit is vacant
      ___X No answer at housing unit (AFTER THREE ATTEMPTS)
      ___ Other, explain:
   f) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes X ___ No ___
      If yes describe: ANOTHER HOUSING UNIT WITHIN THE SAME BUILDING (OR STREE, OR ROUTE)
      WAS SELECTED RANDOMLY

3.2 Sampling Method (if telephone)
   a) Describe how the sample was drawn
b) Was the sample a random digit dial sample? Yes ___ No ___
listed sample? Yes ___ No ___
dual frame? Yes ___ No ___;
(if dual frame) % list frame: ___; % random-digit dial: ___
c) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household? Yes ___ No ___ If no, describe:
d) Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply)
   ___ All members of household ineligibles
   ___ Non-residential phone
   ___ No answer (if so), after how many calls to number? ___
   ___ Non-working number
   ___ Other, explain:

   e) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes ___ No ___
      If yes describe:

3.3 Sampling Method (if mail / self completion)
a) Describe how the sample was drawn

b) Was the sample a listed sample? Yes ___ No ___
c) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household? Yes ___ No ___ If no, describe:
d) Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply)
   ___ All members of household ineligibles
   ___ Housing unit is vacant
   ___ Other, explain:

   e) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes ___ No ___
      If yes describe:

4. Compliance
a) Pre-Study Strategies: Prior to the study was
   a letter sent to respondent? Yes ___ No ___
   payment sent to respondent? Yes ___ No ___
   a token gift sent to respondent? Yes ___ No ___
   any other incentives used? Yes ___ No ___
      If yes, describe:
b) During the Field Period
   Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring
   it non-sample: ___
   Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring
   it non-interview: ___
   Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted: ___
   Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they recontacted
   the household? Yes ___ No ___

c) Refusal Conversion

Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed? Yes X No ___ If No (go to Section X)
Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes ___ No X___
Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes ___ No X___
Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes X No ___
Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed: ___2___
Other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part:

X. Response Rate (to first wave if a panel study)

a) Total number of sample lines issued: ___1,300
b) Total number of completed interviews: ___1,212
c) Number of refusals: ___67

XII. Panel Attrition (Complete only if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study)

a) Total number of respondents in wave I of the study: ______
b) Number of wave I respondents re-interviewed in wave containing CSES Module: ______
c) Percent panel attrition ((a-b)/a)*100: ______

d) Panel attrition by age: ______

Age % Reinterviewed
18-25 ___ % 
26-40 ___ %
41-65 ___ %
65 & over ___ %

e) Panel attrition by education:

Education % Reinterviewed
None ___ %
Incomplete primary ___ %
Primary completed ___ %
Incomplete secondary ___ %
Secondary completed ___ %
University incomplete ___ %
University degree ___ %
XII. Sample Weight

a) Are the data weighted? Yes X No If yes: AS AN OPTIONAL VARIABLE
b) Are the data weighted to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? Yes No X
c) Are the data weighted to match known demographic characteristics of the population? Yes X (SEX AND AGE) No
d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? Yes No X

XIII. Description of interviewers (age, level of education, and years of experience): IT IS A NATIONAL NETWORK OF PROFESSIONAL INTERVIEWERS WHICH ARE USED MONTHLY BY ASEP SINCE 1987.

Description of interviewer training: THEY HAVE THE EXPERIENCE.

XIV. Comparison of Sample to Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Population Estimates (AS % OF POPULATION 18+)</th>
<th>Sample Estimates Unweighted</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-40</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-66</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and over</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete primary</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary completed</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete secondary</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary completed</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-secondary trade /</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vocational school</td>
<td>----%</td>
<td>----%</td>
<td>----%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete university</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University degree</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XV. Languages used in the interviews. List:

ALL IN SPANISH