Comparative Study of Electoral Systems

Description of Sample and Data Collection

I. Country: CZECH &	EPUBLIC
II. Type of Election (e.g. presidential; parliamen	tary; legislative): PARLIAMENTAR
III. Date of Election: 31 Mac	(- 1 June 1996
IV. Organization that Conducted the Survey Field ———————————————————————————————————	d Work: STEM - FEMPINICAL RESEARCH Pray
V. Investigators Responsible for Data Collection	
Affiliation: DiRECTOR STEM Address:	Affiliation: Address: Fax: Phone: E-mail: Name: Affiliation: Address: Fax: Phone: E-mail:
VI. Study Design (check one) Post-Election Study Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study	
VII. Dates of Interviewing Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: 10 Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: 18	June 1996 June 1996
(If Panel Study) Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began: Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:	

VIII. Mode of interview (check one)	
X In person, face-to-face Telephone	
Mail or self-completion supplement	
Man of sen-completion supplement	
IX. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures	
1. Eligibility Requirements	
a) age: <u>18</u> +	
b) citizenship: Yes X No	
c) other:	
2. Persons Excluded From the Sample Frame	
a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame? Yes No X	
If yes, explain:	
b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample? Yes X No	
c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample? Yes No X	
d) (If telephone interview) Estimated percentage of households without a phone: %	
e) (If telephone interview) Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled? Yes No	
f) Other persons excluded from the sample frame:	
- The sample Hame.	
g) Estimated total $(a+b+c+d+e+f)$ percentage of the eligible population excluded from	
the sample frame: <u>1-2</u> %	
3.1 Sampling Method (if Face-to-Face)	
a) Describe how the primary sampling units were selected: randomly	
Statified for resions and locality fize	
a) Describe how the primary sampling units were selected: randomly Statified for resions and locality size Samplins units = 300 localities	
Were the primary sampling units randomly selected? Yes X No	
b) Was there a second stage selection? Yes X No	
c) Describe the method by which the second stage sampling units were selected:	
Quota - defined by age, sex, lolucation	24
Were the secondary sampling units randomly selected? Yes No X ~ Quo?	la i
d) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household?	V
Yes No X	
If no, describe:	

e)	Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non-sample? (Check all that apply)		
	All members of household are ineligible		
	Housing unit is vacant		
	No answer at housing unit		
	Other, explain:		
	Other, explain.		
f)	Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No		
	If yes describe:		
22 6	omalina Makad (Saalada a)		
	ampling Method (if telephone)		
a)	Describe how the sample was drawn		
b)	Was the sample s		
0)	Was the sample a		
	random digit dial sample? Yes No		
	listed sample? Yes No		
	dual frame? Yes No ;		
	(if dual frame) % list frame:; % random-digit dial:		
c)	Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household?		
	Yes No		
•	If no, describe:		
d)	Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply)		
	All members of household ineligibles		
	Non-residential phone		
	No answer (if so), after how many calls to number?		
	Non-working number		
	Other, explain:		
- \			
e)	Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No		
	If yes describe:		
2.2 C-			
5.5 Sa	mpling Method (if mail / self completion)		
a)	Describe how the sample was drawn		
b)	Was the cample a listed complete Var.		
c)	Was a selection table used to select the result in the latest the result in		
<i>\(\)</i>	Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household?		
	Yes No		
	If no, describe:		

	d)	Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply) All members of household ineligibles Housing unit is vacant Other, explain:
	e)	Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No If yes describe:
4.	Co	mpliance
	a)	Pre-Study Strategies: Prior to the study was a letter sent to respondent? Yes No × payment sent to respondent? Yes No × a token gift sent to respondent? Yes No ×
		anv other incentives used? Yes No ⊀ If yes, describe:
	b)	During the Field Period Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring it non-sample: Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring it non-interview: Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted: Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they recontacted the household? Yes No
•	c)	Refusal Conversion Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed? Yes X No If "No" (go to Section X) Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes X No Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No X Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes No X Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed:
· a)	Tot	al number of sample lines issued: al number of completed interviews: 3038 of the panel
c)	Nu	al number of completed interviews: 1589 mber of refusals: mber never contacted (no-contact): Willingness to be reinherriewed and give address
		and y ve address

f)	Other non-response: Number of lines of non-sample: Response Rate: (b/(a-f))*100: 52,3
XI. P	ranel Attrition (Complete only if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study)
b) c)	Total number of respondents in wave I of the study: Number of wave I respondents re-interviewed in wave containing CSES Module: Percent panel attrition ((a-b)/a)*100: Panel attrition by age:
18-29 30-44 45- 5 9 60+	Age % Reinterviewed 18-25 74 % 26-40 72 % 41-65 82 % 65-8 over 83 %
e)	Panel attrition by education:
	Education % Reinterviewed None % Incomplete primary 56 % Primary completed 100 % Incomplete secondary 79 % Secondary completed 64 % University incomplete 74 % University degree %
XII. S	ample Weight
	Are the data weighted? Yes No
•	Are the data weighted to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? Yes No Are the data weighted to match "known" demographic characteristics of the population? Yes No
d)	Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? Yes No
-	
resp	¹ These include cases where there were language difficulties, a non-competent respondent, illness, or a ondent who was away from home for the entire field period.

² Non-sample includes: vacant houses, houses where no resident was eligible (e.g. non-citizens or underage residents).

XIII. Description of	interviewers (age, leve	el of education, and years of experience):	
50%	= 44% // mon	than 45 sears 56% on // Rypevience - Zyears 43 oluc. 57% / univ 33% / ele	0/2
more 57%	// Secondary	duc. 57% / univ 33% / ela	ment
Description of int	terviewer training:	instruction to each.	surve
	арр	nox. 20 surveys in a gra	<u>r</u>
	sular trai	nox. 20 surveys in a gra	
		_	
XIV. Comparison of	f Sample to Population		
Chamatanistis D	latio - Fatiata-3	Sample Estimates	
Characteristic Po	pulation Estimates ³	Unweighted Weighted	
Age			
18-25 18-29	23.8%	% %	
26-40 30-44	35.9 %		
41-66 45-59	29.0%	——————————————————————————————————————	
65 and over 60+	11.3%	%%	
Education			
None	%	% %	
Incomplete primary	%	%%	
Primary completed	23.8%	17·7 %%	
Incomplete secondary	y 35.9%	<u>35.0</u> %	
Secondary completed	29.0%	<u>37.0</u> %%	
Post-secondary trade	1		
vocational school	%	%%	
Incomplete university	·	%%	
University degree	11.3 %	<u>10.3</u> %%	
Gender			
Male	48.1%	46.5% %	
Female	51.9 %	53.5% %	
			
XV. Languages used	d in the interviews. Li	st:	
02	€CH		

Cansus 1991

 $^{^{3}}$ From national statistical agency. Provide source.