

CSES Module 2:

Sample Design and Data Collection Report

Country: HUNGARY (7 APRIL 2002)

Type of Election (e.g. presidential; parliamentary; legislative): PARLIAMENTARY

Organization that Conducted the Survey Field Work: MEDIAN PUBLIC OPINION AND MARKET RESEARCH INSTITUTE LTD., URL: www.median.hu

Investigators Responsible for Data Collection

Name: GABOR TOKA
Affiliation: CENTRAL EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY
Address: CEU, NADOR UTCA 9, BUDAPEST 1051, HUNGARY
Fax: (36-1) 327 3087
Phone: (36-1) 327 3084
E-mail: TOKAG@CEU.HU

Name:
Affiliation:
Address:
Fax:
Phone:
E-mail:

Name:
Affiliation:
Address:
Fax:
Phone:
E-mail:

Name:
Affiliation:
Address:
Fax:
Phone:
E-mail:

Languages used in the interviews: HUNGARIAN (questionnaire and its back translation are attached).

Note that questions 1 to 22 of CSES Module 2 were asked as a single block, as questions 22 to 51 in the Hungarian questionnaire, except that the questions on turnout and vote choice in the last election were asked before, as questions 10, 11 and 13, and that the question on left-right self-placement (question number 24 in the CSES module) was inserted before the battery on the left-right placement of the parties (question 20 of the CSES module). Question 23 of the CSES module was asked as question 62 in the Hungarian questionnaire, and the information items as questions 14, 52 and 53.

A. Study Design

- Post-Election Study
- Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study

Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: 11 April 2002
Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: 19 April 2002

(If Panel Study)
Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began:
Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:

Mode of (post-election) interview

- In person, face-to-face
- Telephone
- Mail or self-completion supplement

B. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures

1. Eligibility Requirements
 - a) age: 18
 - b) citizenship: Yes No
 - c) other:
2. Persons Excluded From the Sample Frame
 - a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?
Yes No If yes, explain:
 - b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample?
Yes No
 - c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample?
Yes No (UNLESS CURRENTLY STAYING AT INSTITUTIONAL ACCOMMODATION)
 - d) If interviews were conducted by telephone:
What is the estimated percentage of households without a phone: %

Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled?

Yes ___ No ___

Were substitution methods used for unproductive sample points?

Yes ___ No ___

e) Were other persons excluded from the sample frame? Yes X No ___

... HOMELESS ...

f) Estimated total (a+b+c+d+e) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: 2-4

%

3. Sample Selection Procedures:

a) What were the primary sampling units? Were the primary sampling units randomly selected? Yes X No ___
___ (Please Describe):

THE CENTRAL STATISTICAL OFFICE'S CENSUS LIST SERVED AS STARTING POINT. LOCALITIES WERE STRATIFIED BY COUNTY AND POPULATION SIZE. WITHIN EACH STRATUM, PRIMARY SAMPLING POINTS WERE SELECTED AT RANDOM WITH A PROBABILITY PROPORTIONAL TO THEIR POPULATION SIZE

b) Were there further stages of selection? Yes X No ___ (Please Describe):
A STARTING POINT FOR THE SELECTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY A RANDOM ROUTE PROCESS WAS CHOSEN IN EACH SELECTED LOCALITY WITH A BLIND HIT ON THE DETAILED MAP OF THE GIVEN LOCALITY OR EQUIVALENT METHOD. THEN, A RANDOM ROUTE PROCEDURE WAS FOLLOWED TO SELECT HOUSEHOLDS.

c) How were individual respondents identified?
KISH-GRID WAS USED TO SELECT RESPONDENTS WITHIN HOUSEHOLDS.

d) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non-sample? (Check all that apply)

X All members of household are ineligible

X Housing unit is vacant

___ No answer at housing unit

___ Other, explain:

e) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes ___ No X
(Please Describe):

4. Compliance

a) Prior to the study was:
a letter sent to respondent? Yes ___ No x
payment sent to respondent? Yes ___ No x

a token gift sent to respondent? Yes ___ No x

any other incentives used? Yes ___ No x

b) During the Field Period

How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it non-sample: 1

How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it non-interview: 3

Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted: -

Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they recontacted the household? Yes x No ___

c) Refusal Conversion

Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed? Yes ___ No x

Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes ___ No x

Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes ___ No x

Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes ___ No x

What was the maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be

interviewed: -

Other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part: Yes No x

5. Response Rate (to first wave if a panel study)

Total number of sample lines issued: 2022
Number of refusals: 528
Number never contacted (no-contact): 254
Other non-response: 2
Number of lines of non-sample: 38

Total number of completed interviews: 1200

Response Rate: 60.5%

NOTE: According to the results of callbacks and other checks, the numbers above are estimates that may slightly overestimate the response rate due to occasional failures of recording by the interviewers all sample lines where the interview failed to materialize.

6 Sample Weight

a) Are weights included in the data file? Yes X No (Please Describe their Construction):

The weight variable supplied with the Hungarian micro-data was created using the following information: the number of cases in the cells of two-way cross-tables of sex, a seven-category age variable, a three-category education variable and an urban/rural residence dummy in the 18+ year old Hungarian citizen population as estimated by the Central Statistical Office (KSH) of the Hungarian government for 1 January 2001; and the respective frequencies from the Hungarian micro-data for the CSES study.

First, 40 demographic groups were defined by collapsing some of the cells in a four-way cross-table of the above-mentioned variables. The value of the weight variable deposited with the CSES micro-data was calculated for all respondents' as the ratio between expected and observed relative size of their demographic group. The expected size of each demographic group was determined through an iterative algorithm that set marginal constraints on the size of some more highly aggregated groups given the information available from the available KSH-estimates.

- b) Are the weights designed to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? Yes No X
- c) Are the weights designed to match known demographic characteristics of the population? Yes X No
- d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? Yes No X

7. a) Please describe the interviewers (age, level of education, and years of experience): N.A.

b) Description of interviewer training: N.A.

8. Comparison of Sample to Population

Characteristic	Population Estimates (1997)	Sample Estimates	
		Unweighted	Weighted
Age			
18-29	23.4%	15.5%	23.4%
30-39	16.5%	15.8%	16.2%
40-59	35.0%	35.1%	35.5%
60 and over	25.0%	33.6%	24.9%
Education			
None	- %	- %	- %
(None and) incomplete primary	15.2%	7.8%	10.2%
Primary completed	50.1%	23.5%	21.2%
Incomplete secondary	- %	24.2%	29.1%
Secondary completed	24.0%	32.5%	29.1%
Post-secondary trade / vocational school	- %	- %	- %
Incomplete university	- %	- %	- %
University degree	10.7%	12.0%	10.5%
Gender			
Male	46.9%	38.7%	46.8%

Female

53.1%

61.3%

53.2%